# Geometric characterization of algebra homomorphisms on *f*-algebras

Mohamed Amine BEN AMOR

Leiden, July 25, 2013

# Finite dimensional case

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the set of bistochastic matrices.



2/16

# Finite dimensional case

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the set of bistochastic matrices.

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{5}{12} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

# Finite dimensional case

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the set of bistochastic matrices.

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{5}{12} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

#### Birkhoff, 1946

Extremal points of the convex set  $\mathcal{B}$  are permutation matrices.



#### 1961, A. Ionescu Tulcea and C. Ionescu Tulcea

Let *X* and *Y* are two compact Hausdorff spaces and the convex set

$$\mathfrak{K} = \{ T \in \mathcal{L}(C(X), C(Y)), T \geq 0, \text{ and } T\mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1} \}$$

Then T is an algebra homomorphism in  $\mathcal{L}(C(X),C(Y))$  if and only if T is an extreme point in  $\mathfrak K$ 



∘ A vector lattice A (Riesz spaces) is called an  $\ell$ -algebra whenever  $a \le b \in A$  and  $c \in A^+$  imply that  $ac \le bc$ 



- A vector lattice A (Riesz spaces) is called an  $\ell$ -algebra whenever  $a \le b \in A$  and  $c \in A^+$  imply that  $ac \le bc$
- o A is an f-algebra if  $a,b,c\in A^+$  and  $a\wedge b=0$  imply  $ac\wedge b=ca\wedge b=0$



4/16

- A vector lattice A (Riesz spaces) is called an  $\ell$ -algebra whenever  $a \le b \in A$  and  $c \in A^+$  imply that  $ac \le bc$
- o A is an f-algebra if  $a, b, c \in A^+$  and  $a \wedge b = 0$  imply  $ac \wedge b = ca \wedge b = 0$
- ∘  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$  is said to be positive if  $Tf \ge 0$  for all  $f \in A$ .



- A vector lattice A (Riesz spaces) is called an  $\ell$ -algebra whenever  $a \le b \in A$  and  $c \in A^+$  imply that  $ac \le bc$
- o A is an f-algebra if  $a, b, c \in A^+$  and  $a \wedge b = 0$  imply  $ac \wedge b = ca \wedge b = 0$
- ∘  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$  is said to be positive if  $Tf \ge 0$  for all  $f \in A$ .
- o  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A,B)$  is said to be lattice-homomorphism ( $\ell$ -homomorphism) if  $T(f \wedge g) = Tf \wedge Tg$  for all  $f,g \in A$ .

- A vector lattice A (Riesz spaces) is called an  $\ell$ -algebra whenever  $a \le b \in A$  and  $c \in A^+$  imply that  $ac \le bc$
- o A is an f-algebra if  $a, b, c \in A^+$  and  $a \wedge b = 0$  imply  $ac \wedge b = ca \wedge b = 0$
- ∘  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$  is said to be positive if  $Tf \ge 0$  for all  $f \in A$ .
- o  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A,B)$  is said to be lattice-homomorphism ( $\ell$ -homomorphism) if  $T(f \wedge g) = Tf \wedge Tg$  for all  $f,g \in A$ .
- o  $T \in \mathcal{L}_b(A, B)$  is said to be an orthomorphism if  $Tf \land g = 0$  whenever  $f \land g = 0$  for all  $f, g \in A$ .

4/16

- A vector lattice A (Riesz spaces) is called an  $\ell$ -algebra whenever  $a \le b \in A$  and  $c \in A^+$  imply that  $ac \le bc$
- o A is an f-algebra if  $a, b, c \in A^+$  and  $a \wedge b = 0$  imply  $ac \wedge b = ca \wedge b = 0$
- ∘  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$  is said to be positive if  $Tf \ge 0$  for all  $f \in A$ .
- ∘  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$  is said to be lattice-homomorphism ( $\ell$ -homomorphism) if  $T(f \land g) = Tf \land Tg$  for all  $f, g \in A$ .
- $T \in \mathcal{L}_b(A, B)$  is said to be an orthomorphism if  $Tf \land g = 0$  whenever  $f \land g = 0$  for all  $f, g \in A$ .
- The set of all orthomorphisms will be denoted Orth(A)

 $\circ$  A and B are unital f-algebras



 $\circ$  A and B are unital f-algebras

$$\circ \mathcal{M}(A,B) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(A,B), T \geq 0, \text{ and } TI_A = I_B\}$$



- $\circ$  A and B are unital f-algebras
- $\circ \mathcal{M}(A,B) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(A,B), T \geq 0, \text{ and } TI_A = I_B\}$

# Huijsmans and de Pagter, 1984

if  $T \in \mathcal{M}(A, B)$ , then the following are equivalent.

- (i) T is an extreme point in  $\mathcal{M}(A, B)$ .
- (ii) T is an algebra homomorphism.
- (iii) T is a Riesz homomorphism.



 $\circ$  *A* is a unital *f*-algebra with *u* as unit element.

- $\circ$  *A* is a unital *f*-algebra with *u* as unit element.
- $\circ~B$  is an f-algebra and  $w \in B^+$

- A is a unital f-algebra with u as unit element.
- B is an f-algebra and  $w \in B^+$
- The convex set

$$\mathcal{K}_{w}(A,B) = \left\{ T \in \mathcal{L}(A,B)^{+} : T(u) = w \right\}.$$

- A is a unital f-algebra with u as unit element.
- ∘ B is an f-algebra and  $w ∈ B^+$
- The convex set

$$\mathcal{K}_{w}(A,B) = \left\{ T \in \mathcal{L}(A,B)^{+} : T(u) = w \right\}.$$

#### **Theorem**

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)^+$ . Then T is an algebra homomorphism if and only if T is a lattice homomorphism and T(u) is idempotent.

- A is a unital f-algebra with u as unit element.
- ∘ B is an f-algebra and w ∈ B<sup>+</sup>
- The convex set

$$\mathcal{K}_{w}(A,B) = \left\{ T \in \mathcal{L}(A,B)^{+} : T(u) = w \right\}.$$

#### Theorem

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)^+$ . Then T is an algebra homomorphism if and only if T is a lattice homomorphism and T(u) is idempotent.

#### **Theorem**

Let w be an idempotent element in B and  $T \in \mathcal{K}_w(A, B)$ . Then T is an algebra homomorphism if and only if T is an extreme point of  $\mathcal{K}_w(A, B)$ .

• For every positive element T in  $\mathcal{L}(A, B)$ , we put

$$\langle T \rangle = \{ S \in \mathcal{L}(A, B) : -nT \leq S \leq nT \text{ for some } n = 1, 2, ... \}.$$

 $\langle T \rangle$  is a *po*-subspace of  $\mathcal{L}_b(A, B)$ .

• For every positive element T in  $\mathcal{L}(A, B)$ , we put

$$\langle T \rangle = \{ S \in \mathcal{L}(A, B) : -nT \leq S \leq nT \text{ for some } n = 1, 2, ... \}.$$

- $\langle T \rangle$  is a *po*-subspace of  $\mathcal{L}_b(A, B)$ .
- o A Gelfand Type Transform  $\widehat{u}:\langle T\rangle\to B$  can be defined by putting

$$\widehat{u}\left(S\right)=S\left(u\right)\quad\text{for all }S\in\left\langle T\right\rangle .$$

• For every positive element T in  $\mathcal{L}(A, B)$ , we put

$$\langle T \rangle = \{ S \in \mathcal{L}(A, B) : -nT \leq S \leq nT \text{ for some } n = 1, 2, ... \}.$$

- $\langle T \rangle$  is a *po*-subspace of  $\mathcal{L}_b(A, B)$ .
- o A Gelfand Type Transform  $\widehat{u}:\langle T\rangle\to B$  can be defined by putting

$$\widehat{u}\left(S\right)=S\left(u\right)\quad\text{for all }S\in\left\langle T\right\rangle .$$

#### Theorem

Let w be an idempotent element in B and  $T \in \mathcal{K}_w(A, B)$ . Then T is an algebra homomorphism if and only if  $\widehat{u}$  is one-to-one.

o A is an f-algebra  $\longrightarrow$  Orth(A) is a semiprime f-algebra with  $I_A$  as unit element.

- o A is an f-algebra  $\longrightarrow$  Orth(A) is a semiprime f-algebra with  $I_A$  as unit element.
- $\circ$  *A* is a semiprime *f*-algebra  $\longrightarrow$  *A*  $\hookrightarrow$  Orth(*A*).

- o A is an f-algebra  $\longrightarrow$  Orth(A) is a semiprime f-algebra with  $I_A$  as unit element.
- o *A* is a semiprime f-algebra  $\longrightarrow A \hookrightarrow Orth(A)$ .
- o *A* is an *f*-algebra with unit element  $\longrightarrow A \simeq Orth(A)$ .

# Stone f-algebra

An Archimedean semiprime f-algebra is called a Stone f-algebra if

$$I_A \wedge f \in A \text{ for all } f \in A.$$

# Stone f -algebra

An Archimedean semiprime f-algebra is called a Stone f-algebra if

$$I_A \wedge f \in A \text{ for all } f \in A.$$

$$A^{\rhd} = \{f + rI_A : f \in A \text{ and } r \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

# Stone f -algebra

An Archimedean semiprime f-algebra is called a Stone f-algebra if

$$I_A \wedge f \in A \text{ for all } f \in A.$$

$$A^{\triangleright} = \{ f + rI_A : f \in A \text{ and } r \in \mathbf{R} \}$$

#### **Theorem**

Let A be a Stone f-algebra with no unit elements. Then the following assertions hold.

- (i)  $A^{\triangleright}$  is a sub f-algebra of Orth (A).
- (ii) A is a ring and order ideal in  $A^{\triangleright}$ .

# Contractive operator

Let A and B be Stone f-algebra. An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}\left(A,B\right)$  is said to be contractive if

$$0 \le Tf \le I_B$$
 for all  $f \in A$  with  $0 \le f \le I_A$ .

## Contractive operator

Let A and B be Stone f-algebra. An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A,B)$  is said to be contractive if

$$0 \le Tf \le I_B$$
 for all  $f \in A$  with  $0 \le f \le I_A$ .

o The set of all contractive **positive** operators is denoted by  $\mathcal{K}(A, B)$ .

## Contractive operator

Let A and B be Stone f-algebra. An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A,B)$  is said to be contractive if

$$0 \le Tf \le I_B$$
 for all  $f \in A$  with  $0 \le f \le I_A$ .

• The set of all contractive **positive** operators is denoted by  $\mathcal{K}(A, B)$ .

# Example

A be the set of all real-valued continuous functions f on  $(0,\infty)$  for which there exist  $r_f\in(0,\infty)$  and a real polynomial  $P_f$  such that

$$f(r) = P_f(r)$$
 for all  $r \in (r_f, \infty)$ .

If  $B = \mathbf{R}$  and define  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$  by

$$Tf = P_f(0)$$
 for all  $f \in A$ .

0

## Stone extension

Let A and B be f-algebra. Assume that A has no unit element. Then, any  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A,B)$  has an obvious extension  $T^{\triangleright} \in \mathcal{L}(A^{\triangleright},B^{\triangleright})$ , where

$$T^{\triangleright}(f+rI_A)=Tf+rI_B$$
 for all  $f\in A$  and  $r\in \mathbf{R}$ .

## Stone extension

Let A and B be f-algebra. Assume that A has no unit element. Then, any  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A,B)$  has an obvious extension  $T^{\triangleright} \in \mathcal{L}(A^{\triangleright},B^{\triangleright})$ , where

$$T^{\triangleright}(f+rI_A)=Tf+rI_B$$
 for all  $f\in A$  and  $r\in \mathbf{R}$ .

#### **Theorem**

Let *A* be Stone *f*-algebra with no unit element and *B* be a Stone *f*-algebra. The following equivalences hold for any  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$ .

- (i)  $T \in \mathcal{K}(A,B)$  if and only if  $T^{\triangleright} \in \mathcal{K}(A^{\triangleright},B^{\triangleright})$
- (ii) T is an extreme point in  $\mathcal{K}(A,B)$  if and only if  $T^{\triangleright}$  is an extreme point in  $\mathcal{K}(A^{\triangleright},B^{\triangleright})$ .
- (iii) T is an algebra hmomorphism in  $\mathcal{K}(A,B)$  if and only if  $T^{\triangleright}$  is an algebra hmomorphism in  $\mathcal{K}(A^{\triangleright},B^{\triangleright})$ .

#### Theorem

Let A and B be Stone f-algebras, and let  $T \in \mathcal{K}(A, B)$ . Then, T is an extreme point in  $\mathcal{K}(A, B)$  if and only if T is an algebra homomorphism.

12 / 16

Let A and B be Stone f-algebras. An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A,B)$  is called a Stone operator if

$$T(I_A \wedge f) = I_B \wedge Tf \text{ for all } f \in A.$$

Let A and B be Stone f-algebras. An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A,B)$  is called a Stone operator if

$$T(I_A \wedge f) = I_B \wedge Tf$$
 for all  $f \in A$ .

## Stone Operator and Riesz homomorphism

Let A and B be Stone f-algebras, and  $T \in \mathcal{K}(A, B)$ . If T is a Stone operator, then T is a Riesz homomorphism.

Let A and B be Stone f-algebras. An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A,B)$  is called a Stone operator if

$$T(I_A \wedge f) = I_B \wedge Tf$$
 for all  $f \in A$ .

## Stone Operator and Riesz homomorphism

Let A and B be Stone f-algebras, and  $T \in \mathcal{K}(A, B)$ . If T is a Stone operator, then T is a Riesz homomorphism.

The converse need not to be true:

Let A and B be Stone f-algebras. An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A,B)$  is called a Stone operator if

$$T(I_A \wedge f) = I_B \wedge Tf \text{ for all } f \in A.$$

## Stone Operator and Riesz homomorphism

Let A and B be Stone f-algebras, and  $T \in \mathcal{K}(A,B)$ . If T is a Stone operator, then T is a Riesz homomorphism.

The converse need not to be true:

If 
$$A = B = \mathbf{R}$$
 and  $Tf = f/2$ 

Let A and B be Stone f-algebras. An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A,B)$  is called a Stone operator if

$$T(I_A \wedge f) = I_B \wedge Tf$$
 for all  $f \in A$ .

### Stone Operator and Riesz homomorphism

Let A and B be Stone f-algebras, and  $T \in \mathcal{K}(A,B)$ . If T is a Stone operator, then T is a Riesz homomorphism.

The converse need not to be true:

If  $A = B = \mathbf{R}$  and Tf = f/2

T is Riesz homomorphism but not Stone homomorphism

#### **Theorem**

Let *A* and *B* be Stone *f*-algebras with no unit elements, and let  $T \in \mathcal{K}(A, B)$ . Then the following are equivalent.

- (i) T is a Stone operator.
- (ii)  $T^{\triangleright}$  is a Riesz homomorphism.
- (iii)  $T^{\triangleright}$  is a Stone operator.

#### Theorem

Let *A* and *B* be Stone *f*-algebras with no unit elements, and let  $T \in \mathcal{K}(A, B)$ . Then the following are equivalent.

- (i) T is a Stone operator.
- (ii)  $T^{\triangleright}$  is a Riesz homomorphism.
- (iii)  $T^{\triangleright}$  is a Stone operator.

#### Theorem

Let *A* and *B* be Stone *f*-algebras, and let  $T \in \mathcal{K}(A, B)$ . Then *T* is an extreme point in  $\mathcal{K}(A, B)$  if and only if *T* is a Stone operator.

Extreme contractive positive operators and Stone operators

# This talk is based among these articles:



- M.A. Ben Amor, K. Boulabiar, A geometric characterization of ring homomorphisms on f-rings, Journal of Algebra and its applications, to appear.
- M.A. Ben Amor, K. Boulabiar, C. El Adeb ,Extreme contractive operators on Stone f-algebras, preprint
- C.B. Huijsmans and B. de Pagter, Subalgebras and Riesz subspaces of an *f*-algebra, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 48 (1984), 161-174.

Спасибо за внимание

Thank you for your attention