

Operators on ordered spaces belonging to certain
ideals
(TO, E. Spinu)

Positivity VII, Leiden, July 2013

Operator ideals

\mathcal{I} is an **operator ideal** if, for any Banach spaces X, Y ,

- $\mathcal{I}(X, Y)$ is a linear subspace of $B(X, Y)$, containing all finite rank operators.
- If $T \in \mathcal{I}(X, Y)$, $U \in B(X', X)$, and $V \in B(Y, Y')$, then $VTU \in \mathcal{I}(X', Y')$.

We look for criteria for membership in \mathcal{I} .

Operator ideals

\mathcal{I} is an **operator ideal** if, for any Banach spaces X, Y ,

- $\mathcal{I}(X, Y)$ is a linear subspace of $B(X, Y)$, containing all finite rank operators.
- If $T \in \mathcal{I}(X, Y)$, $U \in B(X', X)$, and $V \in B(Y, Y')$, then $VTU \in \mathcal{I}(X', Y')$.

We look for criteria for membership in \mathcal{I} .

Examples of ideals

- \mathcal{K} = compact operators.
- \mathcal{WK} = weakly compact operators.
- \mathcal{SS} = strictly singular operators.
- \mathcal{DP} = Dunford-Pettis (completely continuous) operators: move weakly compact sets to relatively compact sets.
- \mathcal{FSS} = finitely strictly singular operators. $T \in \mathcal{FSS}(X, Y)$ if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that any $E \subset X$ with $\dim E \geq n$ contains x with $\|Tx\| < \varepsilon\|x\|$.
- \mathcal{IN} = inessential (or Fredholm Perturbation) operators: $T \in \mathcal{IN}(X, Y)$ if $I + UT$ is Fredholm for any $U \in B(Y, X)$.
Known: suppose $B(X, Y)$ contains Fredholm operators. Then $T \in \mathcal{IN}(X, Y)$ iff $U + T$ is Fredholm for any Fredholm $U \in B(X, Y)$.

Examples of ideals

- \mathcal{K} = compact operators.
- \mathcal{WK} = weakly compact operators.
- \mathcal{SS} = strictly singular operators.
- \mathcal{DP} = Dunford-Pettis (completely continuous) operators: move weakly compact sets to relatively compact sets.
- \mathcal{FSS} = finitely strictly singular operators. $T \in \mathcal{FSS}(X, Y)$ if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that any $E \subset X$ with $\dim E \geq n$ contains x with $\|Tx\| < \varepsilon\|x\|$.
- \mathcal{IN} = inessential (or Fredholm Perturbation) operators: $T \in \mathcal{IN}(X, Y)$ if $I + UT$ is Fredholm for any $U \in B(Y, X)$.
Known: suppose $B(X, Y)$ contains Fredholm operators. Then $T \in \mathcal{IN}(X, Y)$ iff $U + T$ is Fredholm for any Fredholm $U \in B(X, Y)$.

Examples of ideals

- \mathcal{K} = compact operators.
- \mathcal{WK} = weakly compact operators.
- \mathcal{SS} = strictly singular operators.
- \mathcal{DP} = Dunford-Pettis (completely continuous) operators: move weakly compact sets to relatively compact sets.
- \mathcal{FSS} = finitely strictly singular operators. $T \in \mathcal{FSS}(X, Y)$ if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that any $E \subset X$ with $\dim E \geq n$ contains x with $\|Tx\| < \varepsilon\|x\|$.
- \mathcal{IN} = inessential (or Fredholm Perturbation) operators: $T \in \mathcal{IN}(X, Y)$ if $I + UT$ is Fredholm for any $U \in B(Y, X)$.
Known: suppose $B(X, Y)$ contains Fredholm operators. Then $T \in \mathcal{IN}(X, Y)$ iff $U + T$ is Fredholm for any Fredholm $U \in B(X, Y)$.

Examples of ideals

- \mathcal{K} = compact operators.
- \mathcal{WK} = weakly compact operators.
- \mathcal{SS} = strictly singular operators.
- \mathcal{DP} = Dunford-Pettis (completely continuous) operators: move weakly compact sets to relatively compact sets.
- \mathcal{FSS} = finitely strictly singular operators. $T \in \mathcal{FSS}(X, Y)$ if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that any $E \subset X$ with $\dim E \geq n$ contains x with $\|Tx\| < \varepsilon\|x\|$.
- \mathcal{IN} = inessential (or Fredholm Perturbation) operators: $T \in \mathcal{IN}(X, Y)$ if $I + UT$ is Fredholm for any $U \in B(Y, X)$.
Known: suppose $B(X, Y)$ contains Fredholm operators. Then $T \in \mathcal{IN}(X, Y)$ iff $U + T$ is Fredholm for any Fredholm $U \in B(X, Y)$.

Examples of ideals

Proposition

For any Banach spaces X and Y ,

$$\mathcal{K}(X, Y) \subseteq \mathcal{WK}(X, Y),$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}(X, Y) \subseteq \mathcal{FSS}(X, Y) \subseteq \mathcal{SS}(X, Y) \subseteq \mathcal{IN}(X, Y).$$

In general, these inclusions are proper, and there are no other inclusions.

Ordered spaces: definitions

A real Banach space E is an **ordered Banach space (OBS)** if it has a closed proper positive cone Z_+ ($Z_+ \cap (-Z_+) = \{0\}$).

The positive cone of an OBS Z is **generating** if $Z_+ - Z_+ = Z$.

Equivalently, $\exists \mathbf{G}_Z$ (the **generating constant** of Z) so that, $\forall z \in Z$, $\exists a, b \in Z_+$ s.t. $z = a - b$, and $\max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\} \leq \mathbf{G}_Z \|z\|$.

Abusing the notation slightly, we call such OBSs generating.

An OBS Z is **normal** if there exists \mathbf{N}_Z (the **normality constant** of Z) so that $\|z\| \leq \mathbf{N}_Z(\|a\| + \|b\|)$ whenever $a \leq z \leq b$.

Z is normal iff its dual Z^* is generating, and vice versa.

A complex OBS Z is the complexification of its real part $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ ($Z = Z_{\mathbb{R}} + iZ_{\mathbb{R}}$). A complex OBS is normal (generating) if $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ is. $\mathbf{G}_Z, \mathbf{N}_Z$ refer to $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Ordered spaces: definitions

A real Banach space E is an **ordered Banach space (OBS)** if it has a closed proper positive cone Z_+ ($Z_+ \cap (-Z_+) = \{0\}$).

The positive cone of an OBS Z is **generating** if $Z_+ - Z_+ = Z$.

Equivalently, $\exists \mathbf{G}_Z$ (the **generating constant** of Z) so that, $\forall z \in Z$, $\exists a, b \in Z_+$ s.t. $z = a - b$, and $\max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\} \leq \mathbf{G}_Z \|z\|$.

Abusing the notation slightly, we call such OBSs generating.

An OBS Z is **normal** if there exists \mathbf{N}_Z (the **normality constant** of Z) so that $\|z\| \leq \mathbf{N}_Z(\|a\| + \|b\|)$ whenever $a \leq z \leq b$.

Z is normal iff its dual Z^* is generating, and vice versa.

A complex OBS Z is the complexification of its real part $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ ($Z = Z_{\mathbb{R}} + iZ_{\mathbb{R}}$). A complex OBS is normal (generating) if $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ is. $\mathbf{G}_Z, \mathbf{N}_Z$ refer to $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Ordered spaces: definitions

A real Banach space E is an **ordered Banach space (OBS)** if it has a closed proper positive cone Z_+ ($Z_+ \cap (-Z_+) = \{0\}$).

The positive cone of an OBS Z is **generating** if $Z_+ - Z_+ = Z$.

Equivalently, $\exists \mathbf{G}_Z$ (the **generating constant** of Z) so that, $\forall z \in Z$, $\exists a, b \in Z_+$ s.t. $z = a - b$, and $\max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\} \leq \mathbf{G}_Z \|z\|$.

Abusing the notation slightly, we call such OBSs generating.

An OBS Z is **normal** if there exists \mathbf{N}_Z (the **normality constant** of Z) so that $\|z\| \leq \mathbf{N}_Z(\|a\| + \|b\|)$ whenever $a \leq z \leq b$.

Z is normal iff its dual Z^* is generating, and vice versa.

A complex OBS Z is the complexification of its real part $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ ($Z = Z_{\mathbb{R}} + iZ_{\mathbb{R}}$). A complex OBS is normal (generating) if $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ is. $\mathbf{G}_Z, \mathbf{N}_Z$ refer to $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Ordered spaces: definitions

A real Banach space E is an **ordered Banach space (OBS)** if it has a closed proper positive cone Z_+ ($Z_+ \cap (-Z_+) = \{0\}$).

The positive cone of an OBS Z is **generating** if $Z_+ - Z_+ = Z$.

Equivalently, $\exists \mathbf{G}_Z$ (the **generating constant** of Z) so that, $\forall z \in Z$, $\exists a, b \in Z_+$ s.t. $z = a - b$, and $\max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\} \leq \mathbf{G}_Z \|z\|$.

Abusing the notation slightly, we call such OBSs generating.

An OBS Z is **normal** if there exists \mathbf{N}_Z (the **normality constant** of Z) so that $\|z\| \leq \mathbf{N}_Z(\|a\| + \|b\|)$ whenever $a \leq z \leq b$.

Z is normal iff its dual Z^* is generating, and vice versa.

A complex OBS Z is the complexification of its real part $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ ($Z = Z_{\mathbb{R}} + iZ_{\mathbb{R}}$). A complex OBS is normal (generating) if $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ is. $\mathbf{G}_Z, \mathbf{N}_Z$ refer to $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Ordered spaces: definitions

A real Banach space E is an **ordered Banach space (OBS)** if it has a closed proper positive cone Z_+ ($Z_+ \cap (-Z_+) = \{0\}$).

The positive cone of an OBS Z is **generating** if $Z_+ - Z_+ = Z$.

Equivalently, $\exists \mathbf{G}_Z$ (the **generating constant** of Z) so that, $\forall z \in Z$, $\exists a, b \in Z_+$ s.t. $z = a - b$, and $\max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\} \leq \mathbf{G}_Z \|z\|$.

Abusing the notation slightly, we call such OBSs generating.

An OBS Z is **normal** if there exists \mathbf{N}_Z (the **normality constant** of Z) so that $\|z\| \leq \mathbf{N}_Z(\|a\| + \|b\|)$ whenever $a \leq z \leq b$.

Z is normal iff its dual Z^* is generating, and vice versa.

A complex OBS Z is the complexification of its real part $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ ($Z = Z_{\mathbb{R}} + iZ_{\mathbb{R}}$). A complex OBS is normal (generating) if $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ is. \mathbf{G}_Z , \mathbf{N}_Z refer to $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Problem I: domination

Question

Suppose \mathcal{I} is an operator ideal, and X and Y are ordered Banach spaces. Suppose $T, S \in B(X, Y)$, $0 \leq T \leq S$, and $S \in \mathcal{I}(X, Y)$. Can we conclude $T \in \mathcal{I}(X, Y)$?

Problem II: coincidence and inclusion of ideals

Question

Suppose \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} are operator ideals, and X and Y are Banach spaces. Is it true that $\mathcal{I}(X, Y) \subset \mathcal{J}(X, Y)$? $\mathcal{I}(X, Y) = \mathcal{J}(X, Y)$?

Question

Suppose \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} are Banach operator ideals, and X and Y are ordered Banach spaces. Is it true that $\mathcal{I}(X, Y)_+ \subset \mathcal{J}(X, Y)_+$? $\mathcal{I}(X, Y)_+ = \mathcal{J}(X, Y)_+$?

Problem II: coincidence and inclusion of ideals

Question

Suppose \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} are operator ideals, and X and Y are Banach spaces. Is it true that $\mathcal{I}(X, Y) \subset \mathcal{J}(X, Y)$? $\mathcal{I}(X, Y) = \mathcal{J}(X, Y)$?

Question

Suppose \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} are Banach operator ideals, and X and Y are ordered Banach spaces. Is it true that $\mathcal{I}(X, Y)_+ \subset \mathcal{J}(X, Y)_+$? $\mathcal{I}(X, Y)_+ = \mathcal{J}(X, Y)_+$?

Reminder: domination in Banach lattices

Theorem (Aliprantis & Burkinshaw)

If E is a Banach lattice, $0 \leq T \leq S : E \rightarrow E$, and S is compact, then T^3 is compact. T^2 need not be compact.

Theorem (Fremlin & Dodds; Wickstead)

Suppose E and F are Banach lattices. TFAE:

- 1** *If $0 \leq T \leq S : E \rightarrow F$, and S is compact, then T is compact.*
- 2** *One of the three (non-exclusive) statements holds:*
 - (i) *Both E^* and F are order continuous.*
 - (ii) *F is atomic, and order continuous.*
 - (iii) *E^* is atomic, and order continuous.*

Reminder: domination in Banach lattices

Theorem (Aliprantis & Burkinshaw)

If E is a Banach lattice, $0 \leq T \leq S : E \rightarrow E$, and S is compact, then T^3 is compact. T^2 need not be compact.

Theorem (Fremlin & Dodds; Wickstead)

Suppose E and F are Banach lattices. TFAE:

- 1** *If $0 \leq T \leq S : E \rightarrow F$, and S is compact, then T is compact.*
- 2** *One of the three (non-exclusive) statements holds:*
 - (i) *Both E^* and F are order continuous.*
 - (ii) *F is atomic, and order continuous.*
 - (iii) *E^* is atomic, and order continuous.*

Compact C^* -algebras: definitions

An element a of a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is **multiplication compact** if the map $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} : b \mapsto aba$ is compact.

A Banach algebra is **compact** if each of its elements is multiplication compact.

A C^* -algebra is compact iff it is C^* -isomorphic to $(\bigoplus_{i \in I} K(H_i))_{c_0}$.

Compact C^* -algebras: definitions

An element a of a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is **multiplication compact** if the map $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} : b \mapsto aba$ is compact.

A Banach algebra is **compact** if each of its elements is multiplication compact.

A C^* -algebra is compact iff it is C^* -isomorphic to $(\bigoplus_{i \in I} K(H_i))_{c_0}$.

Compact C^* -algebras: definitions

An element a of a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is **multiplication compact** if the map $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} : b \mapsto aba$ is compact.

A Banach algebra is **compact** if each of its elements is multiplication compact.

A C^* -algebra is compact iff it is C^* -isomorphic to $(\bigoplus_{i \in I} K(H_i))_{c_0}$.

Compact maps on compact C^* -algebras

Proposition

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a compact C^ -algebra, E is a generating OBS, and $0 \leq T \leq S : E \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. If S is compact, then so is T .*

Proposition

For a C^ -algebra \mathcal{A} , TFAE:*

- 1 \mathcal{A} is compact.*
- 2 For any $c \in \mathcal{A}_+$, the order interval $[0, c] = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : 0 \leq a \leq c\}$ is compact.*
- 3 For any $c \in \mathcal{A}_+$, the order interval $[0, c]$ is weakly compact.*
- 4 \mathcal{A} is a hereditary subalgebra of \mathcal{A}^{**} (if $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{A}^{**}, 0 \leq b \leq a$, then $b \in \mathcal{A}$).*

Compact maps on compact C^* -algebras

Proposition

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a compact C^* -algebra, E is a generating OBS, and $0 \leq T \leq S : E \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. If S is compact, then so is T .

Proposition

For a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , TFAE:

- 1 \mathcal{A} is compact.
- 2 For any $c \in \mathcal{A}_+$, the order interval $[0, c] = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : 0 \leq a \leq c\}$ is compact.
- 3 For any $c \in \mathcal{A}_+$, the order interval $[0, c]$ is weakly compact.
- 4 \mathcal{A} is a hereditary subalgebra of \mathcal{A}^{**} (if $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{A}^{**}, 0 \leq b \leq a$, then $b \in \mathcal{A}$).

Compact maps on scattered C^* -algebras

We say that a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is **scattered** if the spectrum of any self-adjoint element of \mathcal{A} is countable (equivalently, $\mathcal{A}^{**} = (\sum_{i \in I} B(H_i))_\infty$).

Proposition

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a scattered C^ -algebra, and E is a generating OBS. If $0 \leq T \leq S : E \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$, and S is compact, then so is T .*

Corollary

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a scattered C^ -algebra, and E is a normal OBS. If $0 \leq T \leq S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$, and S is compact, then so is T .*

Compact maps on scattered C^* -algebras

We say that a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is **scattered** if the spectrum of any self-adjoint element of \mathcal{A} is countable (equivalently, $\mathcal{A}^{**} = (\sum_{i \in I} B(H_i))_\infty$).

Proposition

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a scattered C^ -algebra, and E is a generating OBS. If $0 \leq T \leq S : E \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$, and S is compact, then so is T .*

Corollary

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a scattered C^ -algebra, and E is a normal OBS. If $0 \leq T \leq S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$, and S is compact, then so is T .*

Compact maps on scattered C^* -algebras

We say that a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is **scattered** if the spectrum of any self-adjoint element of \mathcal{A} is countable (equivalently, $\mathcal{A}^{**} = (\sum_{i \in I} B(H_i))_\infty$).

Proposition

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a scattered C^ -algebra, and E is a generating OBS. If $0 \leq T \leq S : E \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$, and S is compact, then so is T .*

Corollary

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a scattered C^ -algebra, and E is a normal OBS. If $0 \leq T \leq S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$, and S is compact, then so is T .*

Compactness of operators on C^* -algebras

Theorem

Suppose \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are C^* -algebras.

1 Suppose at least one of the two conditions holds:

(i) \mathcal{A} is scattered.

(ii) \mathcal{B} is compact.

If $0 \leq T \leq S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, and S is compact, then T is compact.

2 Suppose \mathcal{A} is not scattered, and \mathcal{B} is not compact. Then there exist $0 \leq T \leq S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, so that S has rank 1, while T is not compact.

Compactness of operators on C^* -algebras

Theorem

Suppose \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are C^ -algebras.*

1 *Suppose at least one of the two conditions holds:*

(i) \mathcal{A} is scattered.

(ii) \mathcal{B} is compact.

If $0 \leq T \leq S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, and S is compact, then T is compact.

2 *Suppose \mathcal{A} is not scattered, and \mathcal{B} is not compact. Then there exist $0 \leq T \leq S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, so that S has rank 1, while T is not compact.*

Compactness of multiplication operators on C^* -algebras

Suppose $\mathcal{A} \subset B(H)$. For $x \in B(H)$, define $\mathbf{M}_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H) : a \mapsto x^*ax$.

Proposition

Suppose x is an element of a C^ -algebra \mathcal{A} .*

- 1 If \mathbf{M}_x is weakly compact, and $0 \leq T \leq \mathbf{M}_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, then T is compact.*
- 2 If $0 \leq \mathbf{M}_x \leq S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, and S is weakly compact, then \mathbf{M}_x is compact.*

Consequently, \mathbf{M}_x is compact iff it is weakly compact.

Compactness of multiplication operators on C^* -algebras

Suppose $\mathcal{A} \subset B(H)$. For $x \in B(H)$, define
 $\mathbf{M}_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H) : a \mapsto x^*ax$.

Proposition

Suppose x is an element of a C^ -algebra \mathcal{A} .*

- 1** *If \mathbf{M}_x is weakly compact, and $0 \leq T \leq \mathbf{M}_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, then T is compact.*
- 2** *If $0 \leq \mathbf{M}_x \leq S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, and S is weakly compact, then \mathbf{M}_x is compact.*

Consequently, \mathbf{M}_x is compact iff it is weakly compact.

Compactness of multiplication operators on C^* -algebras

Suppose $\mathcal{A} \subset B(H)$. For $x \in B(H)$, define
 $\mathbf{M}_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H) : a \mapsto x^*ax$.

Proposition

Suppose x is an element of a C^ -algebra \mathcal{A} .*

- 1** *If \mathbf{M}_x is weakly compact, and $0 \leq T \leq \mathbf{M}_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, then T is compact.*
- 2** *If $0 \leq \mathbf{M}_x \leq S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, and S is weakly compact, then \mathbf{M}_x is compact.*

Consequently, \mathbf{M}_x is compact iff it is weakly compact.

Compactness of multiplication operators on C^* -algebras

Suppose $\mathcal{A} \subset B(H)$. For $x \in B(H)$, define
 $\mathbf{M}_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H) : a \mapsto x^*ax$.

Proposition

Suppose x is an element of a C^ -algebra \mathcal{A} .*

- 1** *If \mathbf{M}_x is weakly compact, and $0 \leq T \leq \mathbf{M}_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, then T is compact.*
- 2** *If $0 \leq \mathbf{M}_x \leq S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, and S is weakly compact, then \mathbf{M}_x is compact.*

Consequently, \mathbf{M}_x is compact iff it is weakly compact.

Compactness of multiplication operators on C^* -algebras: the irreducible case

Proposition

Suppose \mathcal{A} is an irreducible C^* -subalgebra of $B(H)$, and $x \in B(H)$.

- 1 If $\mathbf{M}_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ is compact, and $0 \leq T \leq \mathbf{M}_x$, then T is compact.
- 2 If $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ is compact, and $0 \leq \mathbf{M}_x \leq S$, then \mathbf{M}_x is compact.

Remark

Irreducibility is essential: there exists an abelian C^* -subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset B(H)$, and $x, y \in B(H)$, so that $0 \leq \mathbf{M}_x \leq \mathbf{M}_y : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$, \mathbf{M}_y is compact, while \mathbf{M}_x is not.

Compactness of multiplication operators on C^* -algebras: the irreducible case

Proposition

Suppose \mathcal{A} is an irreducible C^* -subalgebra of $B(H)$, and $x \in B(H)$.

- 1 If $\mathbf{M}_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ is compact, and $0 \leq T \leq \mathbf{M}_x$, then T is compact.
- 2 If $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ is compact, and $0 \leq \mathbf{M}_x \leq S$, then \mathbf{M}_x is compact.

Remark

Irreducibility is essential: there exists an abelian C^* -subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset B(H)$, and $x, y \in B(H)$, so that $0 \leq \mathbf{M}_x \leq \mathbf{M}_y : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$, \mathbf{M}_y is compact, while \mathbf{M}_x is not.

Compactness of multiplication operators on C^* -algebras: the irreducible case

Proposition

Suppose \mathcal{A} is an irreducible C^* -subalgebra of $B(H)$, and $x \in B(H)$.

- 1 If $\mathbf{M}_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ is compact, and $0 \leq T \leq \mathbf{M}_x$, then T is compact.
- 2 If $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ is compact, and $0 \leq \mathbf{M}_x \leq S$, then \mathbf{M}_x is compact.

Remark

Irreducibility is essential: there exists an abelian C^* -subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset B(H)$, and $x, y \in B(H)$, so that $0 \leq \mathbf{M}_x \leq \mathbf{M}_y : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$, \mathbf{M}_y is compact, while \mathbf{M}_x is not.

Weakly compact operators on Schatten spaces

A symmetric sequence space \mathcal{E} gives rise to a **Schatten space**
 $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{E}}(H) = \{T \in K(H) : (s_i(T)) \in \mathcal{E}\}$, with $\|T\|_{\mathcal{E}} = \|(s_i(T))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\mathcal{E}}$.
Convention: $\mathfrak{C}_p = \mathfrak{C}_{\ell_p}$.

Theorem

Suppose \mathcal{E} is a separable symmetric sequence space, containing ℓ_1 , F is a Banach lattice, and H is an inf. dim. Hilbert space. TFAE:

- (1) F is order continuous.*
- (2) If $0 \leq T \leq S : \mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{E}}(H) \rightarrow F$, and S is weakly compact, then T is weakly compact as well.*

Weakly compact operators on Schatten spaces

A symmetric sequence space \mathcal{E} gives rise to a **Schatten space** $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{E}}(H) = \{T \in K(H) : (s_i(T)) \in \mathcal{E}\}$, with $\|T\|_{\mathcal{E}} = \|(s_i(T))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\mathcal{E}}$.
Convention: $\mathfrak{C}_p = \mathfrak{C}_{\ell_p}$.

Theorem

Suppose \mathcal{E} is a separable symmetric sequence space, containing ℓ_1 , F is a Banach lattice, and H is an inf. dim. Hilbert space. TFAE:

- (1) F is order continuous.*
- (2) If $0 \leq T \leq S : \mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{E}}(H) \rightarrow F$, and S is weakly compact, then T is weakly compact as well.*

Dunford-Pettis Schur multipliers

An operator $T \in B(E, F)$ is **Dunford-Pettis** if $\lim_n \|Tx_n\| = 0$ whenever $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{weakly}} 0$. Equivalently, the image of any weakly compact set is compact.

Theorem

Suppose $0 \leq \mathbf{S}_\phi \leq \mathbf{S}_\psi$ are Schur multipliers from \mathcal{C}_1 to $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{E}$ (\mathcal{E} is a symmetric sequence space). If \mathbf{S}_ψ is Dunford-Pettis, then the same is true for \mathbf{S}_ϕ .

There exist examples of Banach lattices E, F , and $0 \leq T \leq S : E \rightarrow F$, so that S is Dunford-Pettis, but T is not.

Dunford-Pettis Schur multipliers

An operator $T \in B(E, F)$ is **Dunford-Pettis** if $\lim_n \|Tx_n\| = 0$ whenever $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{weakly}} 0$. Equivalently, the image of any weakly compact set is compact.

Theorem

Suppose $0 \leq \mathbf{S}_\phi \leq \mathbf{S}_\psi$ are Schur multipliers from \mathfrak{C}_1 to $\mathfrak{C}_\mathcal{E}$ (\mathcal{E} is a symmetric sequence space). If \mathbf{S}_ψ is Dunford-Pettis, then the same is true for \mathbf{S}_ϕ .

There exist examples of Banach lattices E, F , and $0 \leq T \leq S : E \rightarrow F$, so that S is Dunford-Pettis, but T is not.

Dunford-Pettis Schur multipliers

An operator $T \in B(E, F)$ is **Dunford-Pettis** if $\lim_n \|Tx_n\| = 0$ whenever $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{weakly}} 0$. Equivalently, the image of any weakly compact set is compact.

Theorem

Suppose $0 \leq \mathbf{S}_\phi \leq \mathbf{S}_\psi$ are Schur multipliers from \mathfrak{C}_1 to $\mathfrak{C}_\mathcal{E}$ (\mathcal{E} is a symmetric sequence space). If \mathbf{S}_ψ is Dunford-Pettis, then the same is true for \mathbf{S}_ϕ .

There exist examples of Banach lattices E, F , and $0 \leq T \leq S : E \rightarrow F$, so that S is Dunford-Pettis, but T is not.

Part II: coincidence and inclusion of ideals

Question

Suppose \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} are operator ideals, and X and Y are Banach spaces. Is it true that one of the following holds:

- $\mathcal{I}(X, Y) \subset \mathcal{J}(X, Y)$;
- $\mathcal{I}(X, Y) = \mathcal{J}(X, Y)$;
- $\mathcal{I}(X, Y)_+ \subset \mathcal{J}(X, Y)_+$;
- $\mathcal{I}(X, Y)_+ = \mathcal{J}(X, Y)_+$.

Early results

Theorem (Calkin 1940; Gohberg, Feldman, and Markus 1960)

$\mathcal{K}(\ell_p) = \mathcal{FSS}(\ell_p) = \mathcal{SS}(\ell_p) = \mathcal{IN}(\ell_p) = \mathcal{DP}(\ell_p)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$),
same for c_0 .

Theorem (Pelczynski 1965)

$\mathcal{WK}(C(K)) = \mathcal{FSS}(C(K)) = \mathcal{SS}(C(K))$.

Moreover, for $T \in B(C(K))$, TFAE:

- 1 $T \notin \mathcal{WK}(C(K)) = \mathcal{FSS}(C(K)) = \mathcal{SS}(C(K))$.
- 2 There exists a subspace $E \subset C(K)$, isomorphic to c_0 , so that $T|_E$ is an isomorphism (T fixes c_0).

Similar results hold for L_1 .

Early results

Theorem (Calkin 1940; Gohberg, Feldman, and Markus 1960)

$\mathcal{K}(\ell_p) = \mathcal{FSS}(\ell_p) = \mathcal{SS}(\ell_p) = \mathcal{IN}(\ell_p) = \mathcal{DP}(\ell_p)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$),
same for c_0 .

Theorem (Pelczynski 1965)

$\mathcal{WK}(C(K)) = \mathcal{FSS}(C(K)) = \mathcal{SS}(C(K))$.

Moreover, for $T \in B(C(K))$, TFAE:

- 1 $T \notin \mathcal{WK}(C(K)) = \mathcal{FSS}(C(K)) = \mathcal{SS}(C(K))$.
- 2 There exists a subspace $E \subset C(K)$, isomorphic to c_0 , so that $T|_E$ is an isomorphism (T fixes c_0).

Similar results hold for L_1 .

Early results

Theorem (Calkin 1940; Gohberg, Feldman, and Markus 1960)

$\mathcal{K}(\ell_p) = \mathcal{FSS}(\ell_p) = \mathcal{SS}(\ell_p) = \mathcal{IN}(\ell_p) = \mathcal{DP}(\ell_p)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$),
same for c_0 .

Theorem (Pelczynski 1965)

$\mathcal{WK}(C(K)) = \mathcal{FSS}(C(K)) = \mathcal{SS}(C(K))$.

Moreover, for $T \in B(C(K))$, TFAE:

- 1 $T \notin \mathcal{WK}(C(K)) = \mathcal{FSS}(C(K)) = \mathcal{SS}(C(K))$.
- 2 There exists a subspace $E \subset C(K)$, isomorphic to c_0 , so that $T|_E$ is an isomorphism (T fixes c_0).

Similar results hold for L_1 .

Early results

Theorem (Calkin 1940; Gohberg, Feldman, and Markus 1960)

$\mathcal{K}(\ell_p) = \mathcal{FSS}(\ell_p) = \mathcal{SS}(\ell_p) = \mathcal{IN}(\ell_p) = \mathcal{DP}(\ell_p)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$),
same for c_0 .

Theorem (Pelczynski 1965)

$\mathcal{WK}(C(K)) = \mathcal{FSS}(C(K)) = \mathcal{SS}(C(K))$.

Moreover, for $T \in B(C(K))$, TFAE:

- 1 $T \notin \mathcal{WK}(C(K)) = \mathcal{FSS}(C(K)) = \mathcal{SS}(C(K))$.
- 2 There exists a subspace $E \subset C(K)$, isomorphic to c_0 , so that $T|_E$ is an isomorphism (T fixes c_0).

Similar results hold for L_1 .

More recent results

[L. Weis 1977]: description of strictly singular operators on $L_p(0, 1)$.

[G. Russu 1984, P. Tradacete 2010]: description of strictly singular operators on Lorentz spaces.

Theorem (Lefevre 2012)

$WK(X) = \mathcal{FSS}(X) = SS(X)$ if X is the disc algebra, or a subspace of $C(K)$ with reflexive annihilator.

More recent results

[L. Weis 1977]: description of strictly singular operators on $L_p(0, 1)$.

[G. Russu 1984, P. Tradacete 2010]: description of strictly singular operators on Lorentz spaces.

Theorem (Lefevre 2012)

$\mathcal{WK}(X) = \mathcal{FSS}(X) = \mathcal{SS}(X)$ if X is the disc algebra, or a subspace of $C(K)$ with reflexive annihilator.

Operators on C^* -algebras

Theorem

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra, and X is a Banach space. For $T \in B(\mathcal{A}, X)$, TFAE:

- 1 T is not strictly singular.
- 2 T fixes either a copy of c_0 , or a complemented copy of ℓ_2 .

If \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra, then (1) and (2) are equivalent to

- 3 T fixes either a complemented copy of ℓ_2 , or a copy of ℓ_∞ , complemented by a weak* continuous projection.

Furthermore, if X is a dual space, and T is weak* continuous, then a copy of ℓ_2 can be chosen so that it is complemented by a weak*-continuous projection.

Operators on C^* -algebras

Theorem

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra, and X is a Banach space. For $T \in B(\mathcal{A}, X)$, TFAE:

- 1 T is not strictly singular.
- 2 T fixes either a copy of c_0 , or a complemented copy of ℓ_2 .

If \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra, then (1) and (2) are equivalent to

- 3 T fixes either a complemented copy of ℓ_2 , or a copy of ℓ_∞ , complemented by a weak* continuous projection.

Furthermore, if X is a dual space, and T is weak* continuous, then a copy of ℓ_2 can be chosen so that it is complemented by a weak*-continuous projection.

Operators on C^* -algebras

Theorem

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra, and X is a Banach space. For $T \in B(\mathcal{A}, X)$, TFAE:

- 1 T is not strictly singular.
- 2 T fixes either a copy of c_0 , or a complemented copy of ℓ_2 .

If \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra, then (1) and (2) are equivalent to

- 3 T fixes either a complemented copy of ℓ_2 , or a copy of ℓ_∞ , complemented by a weak* continuous projection.

Furthermore, if X is a dual space, and T is weak* continuous, then a copy of ℓ_2 can be chosen so that it is complemented by a weak*-continuous projection.

Operators on C^* -algebras

Theorem

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra, and X is a Banach space. For $T \in B(\mathcal{A}, X)$, TFAE:

- 1 T is not strictly singular.
- 2 T fixes either a copy of c_0 , or a complemented copy of ℓ_2 .

If \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra, then (1) and (2) are equivalent to

- 3 T fixes either a complemented copy of ℓ_2 , or a copy of ℓ_∞ , complemented by a weak* continuous projection.

Furthermore, if X is a dual space, and T is weak* continuous, then a copy of ℓ_2 can be chosen so that it is complemented by a weak*-continuous projection.

Operators on C^* -algebras

A von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} is *finite type I* if it is a direct sum of finitely many type I_n algebras ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

Proposition

A von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} is of finite type I if and only if $\mathcal{FSS}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{SS}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{IN}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{WK}(\mathcal{A})$. Moreover, if \mathcal{A} is not of finite type I, then all of these classes are different.

Non-commutative sequence and L_p spaces

Schatten spaces. If \mathcal{E} is a symmetric sequence space, then $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{E}} = \{T \in \mathcal{K}(\ell_2) : (s_i(T))_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{E}\}$, with the norm $\|T\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{E}}} = \|(s_i(T))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Notation: $\mathfrak{C}_p = \mathfrak{C}_{\ell_p}$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$. $\mathfrak{C}_{\infty} = \mathfrak{C}_{c_0} = \mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$.

Lorentz sequence spaces. Suppose $w(1) \geq w(2) \geq \dots > 0$ and $\sum_k w(k) = \infty$. Then $l(w, p)$ is the completion of c_{00} w.r.t. the norm $\|(x_i)\| = (\sum_k w(k) x_k^{\dagger p})^{1/p}$ ((x_k^{\dagger}) is the non-increasing rearrangement of $(|x_k|)$).

Non-commutative L_p . If τ is a normal faithful semifinite state on a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} , set $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : \tau(|a|) < \infty\}$. For $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$ set $\|a\|_p = (\tau(|a|^p))^{1/p}$. $L_p(\tau)$ is the completion of \mathcal{A}_0 in $\|\cdot\|_p$.

Non-commutative sequence and L_p spaces

Schatten spaces. If \mathcal{E} is a symmetric sequence space, then $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{E}} = \{T \in \mathcal{K}(\ell_2) : (s_i(T))_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{E}\}$, with the norm $\|T\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{E}}} = \|(s_i(T))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Notation: $\mathfrak{C}_p = \mathfrak{C}_{\ell_p}$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$. $\mathfrak{C}_{\infty} = \mathfrak{C}_{c_0} = \mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$.

Lorentz sequence spaces. Suppose $w(1) \geq w(2) \geq \dots > 0$ and $\sum_k w(k) = \infty$. Then $l(w, p)$ is the completion of c_{00} w.r.t. the norm $\|(x_i)\| = (\sum_k w(k) x_k^{\dagger p})^{1/p}$ ((x_k^{\dagger}) is the non-increasing rearrangement of $(|x_k|)$).

Non-commutative L_p . If τ is a normal faithful semifinite state on a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} , set $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : \tau(|a|) < \infty\}$. For $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$ set $\|a\|_p = (\tau(|a|^p))^{1/p}$. $L_p(\tau)$ is the completion of \mathcal{A}_0 in $\|\cdot\|_p$.

Non-commutative sequence and L_p spaces

Schatten spaces. If \mathcal{E} is a symmetric sequence space, then $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{E}} = \{T \in \mathcal{K}(\ell_2) : (s_i(T))_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{E}\}$, with the norm $\|T\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{E}}} = \|(s_i(T))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Notation: $\mathfrak{C}_p = \mathfrak{C}_{\ell_p}$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$. $\mathfrak{C}_{\infty} = \mathfrak{C}_{c_0} = \mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$.

Lorentz sequence spaces. Suppose $w(1) \geq w(2) \geq \dots > 0$ and $\sum_k w(k) = \infty$. Then $l(w, p)$ is the completion of c_{00} w.r.t. the norm $\|(x_i)\| = (\sum_k w(k) x_k^{\dagger p})^{1/p}$ ((x_k^{\dagger}) is the non-increasing rearrangement of $(|x_k|)$).

Non-commutative L_p . If τ is a normal faithful semifinite state on a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} , set $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : \tau(|a|) < \infty\}$. For $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$ set $\|a\|_p = (\tau(|a|^p))^{1/p}$. $L_p(\tau)$ is the completion of \mathcal{A}_0 in $\|\cdot\|_p$.

Non-commutative sequence and L_p spaces

Schatten spaces. If \mathcal{E} is a symmetric sequence space, then $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{E}} = \{T \in \mathcal{K}(\ell_2) : (s_i(T))_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{E}\}$, with the norm $\|T\|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{E}}} = \|(s_i(T))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Notation: $\mathfrak{C}_p = \mathfrak{C}_{\ell_p}$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$. $\mathfrak{C}_{\infty} = \mathfrak{C}_{c_0} = \mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$.

Lorentz sequence spaces. Suppose $w(1) \geq w(2) \geq \dots > 0$ and $\sum_k w(k) = \infty$. Then $l(w, p)$ is the completion of c_{00} w.r.t. the norm $\|(x_i)\| = (\sum_k w(k) x_k^{\dagger p})^{1/p}$ ((x_k^{\dagger}) is the non-increasing rearrangement of $(|x_k|)$).

Non-commutative L_p . If τ is a normal faithful semifinite state on a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} , set $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : \tau(|a|) < \infty\}$. For $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$ set $\|a\|_p = (\tau(|a|^p))^{1/p}$. $L_p(\tau)$ is the completion of \mathcal{A}_0 in $\|\cdot\|_p$.

L_p and Schatten spaces and their relatives

Theorem

Suppose that either

- (i) $X = \mathfrak{C}_{l(p,w)}$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$), or
- (ii) $X = L_p(\tau)$ ($1 < p < \infty$, τ is a normal faithful finite trace on a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra).

Then, for $T \in B(X)$, TFAE:

- 1 T is not strictly singular.
- 2 T is not inessential.
- 3 X contains a subspace E , isomorphic to either ℓ_2 or ℓ_p , so that $T|_E$ is an isomorphism, and both E and $T(E)$ are complemented in X .

More on Schatten and L_p spaces

Theorem

- 1 $SS(\mathfrak{E}_p, \mathfrak{E}_q) = \mathcal{FSS}(\mathfrak{E}_p, \mathfrak{E}_q) = \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{E}_p, \mathfrak{E}_q)$ if $\infty \geq p \geq 2 \geq q \geq 1$,
- 2 $SS(\mathfrak{E}_p, \mathfrak{E}_q) \supsetneq \mathcal{FSS}(\mathfrak{E}_p, \mathfrak{E}_q) \supsetneq \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{E}_p, \mathfrak{E}_q)$ otherwise.

Theorem

Suppose $p, q \in (1, \infty)$, $p \neq q$, and τ is a normal faithful finite trace on a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra. For $T \in B(L_p(\tau), L_q(\tau))$, TFAE:

- 1 T is not strictly singular.
- 2 T is an isomorphism on $E \subset L_p(\tau)$, where E is isomorphic to ℓ_2 , and both E and $T(E)$ are complemented.

More on Schatten and L_p spaces

Theorem

- 1 $SS(\mathfrak{E}_p, \mathfrak{E}_q) = \mathcal{FSS}(\mathfrak{E}_p, \mathfrak{E}_q) = \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{E}_p, \mathfrak{E}_q)$ if $\infty \geq p \geq 2 \geq q \geq 1$,
- 2 $SS(\mathfrak{E}_p, \mathfrak{E}_q) \supsetneq \mathcal{FSS}(\mathfrak{E}_p, \mathfrak{E}_q) \supsetneq \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{E}_p, \mathfrak{E}_q)$ otherwise.

Theorem

Suppose $p, q \in (1, \infty)$, $p \neq q$, and τ is a normal faithful finite trace on a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra. For $T \in B(L_p(\tau), L_q(\tau))$, TFAE:

- 1 T is not strictly singular.
- 2 T is an isomorphism on $E \subset L_p(\tau)$, where E is isomorphic to ℓ_2 , and both E and $T(E)$ are complemented.

Positive operators on Schatten spaces

Theorem

For $1 \leq q < p < \infty$, $B(\mathfrak{C}_p, \mathfrak{C}_q)_+ = \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{C}_p, \mathfrak{C}_q)_+$.

Theorem

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $T \in B(\mathfrak{C}_p)_+$, TFAE:

- 1 T is not compact.
- 2 T is not strictly singular.
- 3 There is a subspace $E \subset \mathfrak{C}_p$, isomorphic to ℓ_p , so that $T|_E$ is an isomorphism, and both E and $T(E)$ are complemented.

Positive operators on Schatten spaces

Theorem

For $1 \leq q < p < \infty$, $B(\mathfrak{C}_p, \mathfrak{C}_q)_+ = \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{C}_p, \mathfrak{C}_q)_+$.

Theorem

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $T \in B(\mathfrak{C}_p)_+$, TFAE:

- 1 T is not compact.
- 2 T is not strictly singular.
- 3 There is a subspace $E \subset \mathfrak{C}_p$, isomorphic to ℓ_p , so that $T|_E$ is an isomorphism, and both E and $T(E)$ are complemented.

Positive operators on L_p spaces

Proposition

Suppose τ is a normal faithful finite traces on a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra. Then $\mathcal{SS}(L_p(\tau), L_q(\tau))_+ = \mathcal{K}(L_p(\tau), L_q(\tau))_+$ iff $1 < q \leq p < \infty$. In particular, $\mathcal{SS}(L_p(\tau))_+ = \mathcal{K}(L_p(\tau))_+$ for $1 < p < \infty$.

Positive operators on von Neumann algebra preduals

Recall that the positive cone X_+ in an ordered real Banach space X is **proper** if $X_+ \cap (-X_+) = \{0\}$, and **generating** if $X = X_+ - X_+$.

Proposition

(1) Suppose X is an ordered Banach space with a proper generating cone, and a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} is purely atomic. Then $\mathcal{IN}(X, \mathcal{A}_*)_+ = \mathcal{SS}(X, \mathcal{A}_*)_+ = \mathcal{WK}(X, \mathcal{A}_*)_+ = \mathcal{K}(X, \mathcal{A}_*)_+$.

(2) If \mathcal{A} is not purely atomic, then $(\mathcal{IN}(\mathcal{A}_*)_+ \cap \mathcal{SS}(\mathcal{A}_*)_+ \cap \mathcal{WK}(\mathcal{A}_*)_+) \setminus \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}_*)_+ \neq \emptyset$.

Positive operators on von Neumann algebra preduals

Recall that the positive cone X_+ in an ordered real Banach space X is **proper** if $X_+ \cap (-X_+) = \{0\}$, and **generating** if $X = X_+ - X_+$.

Proposition

(1) *Suppose X is an ordered Banach space with a proper generating cone, and a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} is purely atomic. Then $\mathcal{IN}(X, \mathcal{A}_*)_+ = \mathcal{SS}(X, \mathcal{A}_*)_+ = \mathcal{WK}(X, \mathcal{A}_*)_+ = \mathcal{K}(X, \mathcal{A}_*)_+$.*

(2) *If \mathcal{A} is not purely atomic, then $(\mathcal{IN}(\mathcal{A}_*)_+ \cap \mathcal{SS}(\mathcal{A}_*)_+ \cap \mathcal{WK}(\mathcal{A}_*)_+) \setminus \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}_*)_+ \neq \emptyset$.*

Positive operators on von Neumann algebra preduals

Recall that the positive cone X_+ in an ordered real Banach space X is **proper** if $X_+ \cap (-X_+) = \{0\}$, and **generating** if $X = X_+ - X_+$.

Proposition

(1) Suppose X is an ordered Banach space with a proper generating cone, and a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} is purely atomic. Then $\mathcal{IN}(X, \mathcal{A}_*)_+ = \mathcal{SS}(X, \mathcal{A}_*)_+ = \mathcal{WK}(X, \mathcal{A}_*)_+ = \mathcal{K}(X, \mathcal{A}_*)_+$.

(2) If \mathcal{A} is not purely atomic, then $(\mathcal{IN}(\mathcal{A}_*)_+ \cap \mathcal{SS}(\mathcal{A}_*)_+ \cap \mathcal{WK}(\mathcal{A}_*)_+) \setminus \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}_*)_+ \neq \emptyset$.

Dunford-Pettis operators

Proposition

Suppose X is a Banach space. Then $T \in B(\mathfrak{C}_1, X)$ is Dunford-Pettis if and only if $T|_E$ is compact whenever E is isomorphic to ℓ_2 .

Products of operators

Proposition

Suppose either $X = \mathfrak{C}_p$ ($1 < p \leq \infty$), or $X = L_p(\tau)$ ($1 < p < \infty$, and τ is a normal faithful finite trace on a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra). If $T, S \in \mathcal{SS}(X)$, then TS is compact.

Proposition

A von Neumann algebra is of finite type I if and only if the product of any two strictly singular operators on it is compact.

Products of operators

Proposition

Suppose either $X = \mathfrak{C}_p$ ($1 < p \leq \infty$), or $X = L_p(\tau)$ ($1 < p < \infty$, and τ is a normal faithful finite trace on a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra). If $T, S \in \mathcal{SS}(X)$, then TS is compact.

Proposition

A von Neumann algebra is of finite type I if and only if the product of any two strictly singular operators on it is compact.

Tools used

- Kadec-Pelczynski style results on equiintegrability
- “Non-commutative gliding hump”
- Structure of Schatten spaces, due to Arazy.
- Subprojectivity.

Subprojective spaces

[R.Whitley 1964] A Banach space X is **subprojective** if, for any infinite dimensional $Y \subset X$, there exists an infinite dimensional $Z \subset Y \subset X$, complemented in X .

Examples of subprojective spaces:

- c_0, l_p for $1 \leq p < \infty$.
- $L_p, 2 \leq p < \infty$.

Examples of non-subprojective spaces:

- l_∞ .
- $L_p, 1 \leq p < 2$.

Subprojective spaces

[R.Whitley 1964] A Banach space X is **subprojective** if, for any infinite dimensional $Y \subset X$, there exists an infinite dimensional $Z \subset Y \subset X$, complemented in X .

Examples of subprojective spaces:

- c_0, ℓ_p for $1 \leq p < \infty$.
- $L_p, 2 \leq p < \infty$.

Examples of non-subprojective spaces:

- ℓ_∞ .
- $L_p, 1 \leq p < 2$.

Subprojective spaces

[R.Whitley 1964] A Banach space X is **subprojective** if, for any infinite dimensional $Y \subset X$, there exists an infinite dimensional $Z \subset Y \subset X$, complemented in X .

Examples of subprojective spaces:

- c_0, ℓ_p for $1 \leq p < \infty$.
- $L_p, 2 \leq p < \infty$.

Examples of non-subprojective spaces:

- ℓ_∞ .
- $L_p, 1 \leq p < 2$.

Stability of subprojectivity

Proposition

(a) *Suppose X and Y are Banach spaces. Then the following are equivalent:*

- 1** *Both X and Y are subprojective.*
- 2** *$X \oplus Y$ is subprojective.*

(b) *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots are Banach spaces, and \mathcal{E} is a space with an unconditional basis. Then the following are equivalent:*

- 1** *The spaces $\mathcal{E}, X_1, X_2, \dots$ are subprojective.*
- 2** *$(\sum_n X_n)_{\mathcal{E}}$ is subprojective.*

Stability of subprojectivity

Proposition

(a) *Suppose X and Y are Banach spaces. Then the following are equivalent:*

- 1 Both X and Y are subprojective.
- 2 $X \oplus Y$ is subprojective.

(b) *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots are Banach spaces, and \mathcal{E} is a space with an unconditional basis. Then the following are equivalent:*

- 1 The spaces $\mathcal{E}, X_1, X_2, \dots$ are subprojective.
- 2 $(\sum_n X_n)_{\mathcal{E}}$ is subprojective.

Subprojectivity of spaces of operators

Theorem

Let X be an infinite dimensional Banach space. Then $B(X)$ is not subprojective.

Theorem

Suppose \mathcal{E} is a symmetric sequence space, not containing c_0 . Then $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is subprojective if and only if \mathcal{E} is subprojective.

Proposition

Let X and Y be Banach spaces with unconditional bases. If X^ and Y are subprojective, X is of cotype 2 and Y is of type 2, then $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ is subprojective.*

Subprojectivity of spaces of operators

Theorem

Let X be an infinite dimensional Banach space. Then $B(X)$ is not subprojective.

Theorem

Suppose \mathcal{E} is a symmetric sequence space, not containing c_0 . Then $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is subprojective if and only if \mathcal{E} is subprojective.

Proposition

Let X and Y be Banach spaces with unconditional bases. If X^ and Y are subprojective, X is of cotype 2 and Y is of type 2, then $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ is subprojective.*

Subprojectivity of spaces of operators

Theorem

Let X be an infinite dimensional Banach space. Then $B(X)$ is not subprojective.

Theorem

Suppose \mathcal{E} is a symmetric sequence space, not containing c_0 . Then $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is subprojective if and only if \mathcal{E} is subprojective.

Proposition

Let X and Y be Banach spaces with unconditional bases. If X^ and Y are subprojective, X is of cotype 2 and Y is of type 2, then $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ is subprojective.*

Subprojectivity of tensor products

Proposition

For $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, $\ell_p \check{\otimes} \ell_q$ and $\ell_p \hat{\otimes} \ell_q$ are subprojective. The same holds if either ℓ_p or ℓ_q is replaced by c_0 .

Corollary

The following spaces are subprojective:

- $\mathcal{K}(\ell_p, \ell_q)$, for $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$.
- $\mathcal{K}(c_0, \ell_q)$, for $1 \leq q < \infty$.
- $\mathcal{K}(\ell_p, c_0)$, for $1 < p < \infty$.

Subprojectivity of tensor products

Proposition

For $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, $\ell_p \check{\otimes} \ell_q$ and $\ell_p \hat{\otimes} \ell_q$ are subprojective. The same holds if either ℓ_p or ℓ_q is replaced by c_0 .

Corollary

The following spaces are subprojective:

- $\mathcal{K}(\ell_p, \ell_q)$, for $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$.
- $\mathcal{K}(c_0, \ell_q)$, for $1 \leq q < \infty$.
- $\mathcal{K}(\ell_p, c_0)$, for $1 < p < \infty$.

Thank you for your attention!

Many thanks to the organizers of this wonderful conference!