

A vector lattice version of Rådström's embedding theorem

C.C.A. Labuschagne¹, A. L. Pinchuck² C. J. Van Alten³

¹Department of Computational and Applied Mathematics
University of the Witwatersrand

²Department of Mathematics (pure & applied)
Rhodes University

³Department of Computer Science
University of the Witwatersrand

Positivity VII Conference, Leiden, 22-26 July, 2013

Outline

- 1 Motivation
 - The basic problem that we studied
 - Rådström's embedding theorem
- 2 Our Results/Contribution
 - Main Results
 - Applications

Outline

- 1 Motivation
 - The basic problem that we studied
 - Rådström's embedding theorem
- 2 Our Results/Contribution
 - Main Results
 - Applications

Our motivation.

- Let X be a Banach space and $\mathcal{P}_0(X)$ be the collection of nonempty subsets of X .
- $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ and $\lambda A = \{\lambda a : a \in A\}$, for $A, B \subseteq X$, λ a scalar.
- Given $A \in \mathcal{P}_0(X)$, it is not always possible to find an additive inverse of A .

Our motivation.

- Let X be a Banach space and $\mathcal{P}_0(X)$ be the collection of nonempty subsets of X .
- $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ and $\lambda A = \{\lambda a : a \in A\}$, for $A, B \subseteq X$, λ a scalar.
- Given $A \in \mathcal{P}_0(X)$, it is not always possible to find an additive inverse of A .

Our motivation.

- Let X be a Banach space and $\mathcal{P}_0(X)$ be the collection of nonempty subsets of X .
- $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ and $\lambda A = \{\lambda a : a \in A\}$, for $A, B \subseteq X$, λ a scalar.
- Given $A \in \mathcal{P}_0(X)$, it is not always possible to find an additive inverse of A .

The Hausdorff distance

Let (X, d) be a metric space. If $A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $x \in X$, the distance between x and A is defined by

$$d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, y) : y \in A\}.$$

If $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, then the Hausdorff distance d_H between A and B is defined by

$$d_H(A, B) = \sup_{a \in A} d(a, B) \vee \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A).$$

In the special case where $B = \{0\}$, let $\|A\|_H = d_H(A, \{0\})$.

Rådström's embedding theorem.

What follows from Rådström's theorem, in [5], that

- a '*near vector space*', can be embedded into a vector space.
- if the '*near vector space*' is endowed with a metric compatible with the addition and multiplication by positive scalars defined on the near vector space, then the embedding space can be normed and the embedding also preserves distance.

Rådström's embedding theorem.

What follows from Rådström's theorem, in [5], that

- a '*near vector space*', can be embedded into a vector space.
- if the '*near vector space*' is endowed with a metric compatible with the addition and multiplication by positive scalars defined on the near vector space, then the embedding space can be normed and the embedding also preserves distance.

Rådström's embedding theorem.

What follows from Rådström's theorem, in [5], that

- a '*near vector space*', can be embedded into a vector space.
- if the '*near vector space*' is endowed with a metric compatible with the addition and multiplication by positive scalars defined on the near vector space, then the embedding space can be normed and the embedding also preserves distance.

Implications of the embedding theorem

- Rådström described the conditions for under which a 'near vector space' X can be embedded into a vector space.
- What is required is a cancellation law:

$$A + B = A + C \Rightarrow B = C,$$

for $A, B, C \in X$

Implications of the embedding theorem

- Rådström described the conditions for under which a 'near vector space' X can be embedded into a vector space.
- What is required is a cancellation law:

$$A + B = A + C \Rightarrow B = C,$$

for $A, B, C \in X$

Implications of the embedding theorem

- Rådström described the conditions for under which a 'near vector space' X can be embedded into a vector space.
- What is required is a cancellation law:

$$A + B = A + C \Rightarrow B = C,$$

for $A, B, C \in X$

Implications of the embedding theorem

- Rådström described the conditions for under which a 'near vector space' X can be embedded into a vector space.
- What is required is a cancellation law:

$$A + B = A + C \Rightarrow B = C,$$

for $A, B, C \in X$

Examples.

- $\text{cbf}(X)$ of nonempty convex bounded closed subsets of X ,
- $\text{cwk}(X)$ of nonempty convex weakly compact subsets of X ,
- $\text{ck}(X)$ of nonempty convex compact subsets of X ,

for X a Banach space with suitable addition defined.

For the latter two examples, ordinary set addition as defined previously is suitable but in the case of $\text{cbf}(X)$ we do not have closure under addition.

We can overcome this by defining addition \oplus as follows:

$$A \oplus B = \overline{A + B},$$

for $A, B \in \text{cbf}(X)$.

Examples.

- $\text{cbf}(X)$ of nonempty convex bounded closed subsets of X ,
- $\text{cwk}(X)$ of nonempty convex weakly compact subsets of X ,
- $\text{ck}(X)$ of nonempty convex compact subsets of X ,

for X a Banach space with suitable addition defined.

For the latter two examples, ordinary set addition as defined previously is suitable but in the case of $\text{cbf}(X)$ we do not have closure under addition.

We can overcome this by defining addition \oplus as follows:

$$A \oplus B = \overline{A + B},$$

for $A, B \in \text{cbf}(X)$.

Examples.

- $\text{cbf}(X)$ of nonempty convex bounded closed subsets of X ,
- $\text{cwk}(X)$ of nonempty convex weakly compact subsets of X ,
- $\text{ck}(X)$ of nonempty convex compact subsets of X ,

for X a Banach space with suitable addition defined.

For the latter two examples, ordinary set addition as defined previously is suitable but in the case of $\text{cbf}(X)$ we do not have closure under addition.

We can overcome this by defining addition \oplus as follows:

$$A \oplus B = \overline{A + B},$$

for $A, B \in \text{cbf}(X)$.

Outline

- 1 Motivation
 - The basic problem that we studied
 - Rådström's embedding theorem
- 2 Our Results/Contribution
 - Main Results
 - Applications

The definition of a near vector space

Let S be a nonempty set.

- ① [1] Then S is said to be a *near vector space*, provided that: addition $+: S \times S \rightarrow S$ is defined such that $(S, +)$ is a cancellative commutative semigroup; i.e., for all $x, y, z \in S$:

- (a) $x + z = y + z \Rightarrow x = y$,
- (b) $x + y = y + x$,
- (c) $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$,

and multiplication $\cdot : \mathbb{R}_+ \times S \rightarrow S$ by positive scalars is defined such that for all $x, y \in S$ and $\lambda, \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$:

- (d) $\lambda x + \lambda y = \lambda(x + y)$,
- (e) $(\lambda + \delta)x = \lambda x + \delta x$,
- (f) $(\lambda\delta)x = \lambda(\delta x)$,
- (g) $1x = x$.

- ① [2] If S is a near vector space and $d: S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a metric on S , then d is said to be an *invariant metric* on S , provided that:

(h) the two mappings

$$+ : S \times S \rightarrow S, \quad (x, y) \mapsto x + y, \text{ and}$$

$$\cdot : \mathbb{R}_+ \times S \rightarrow S, \quad (t, y) \mapsto t \cdot y,$$

are continuous when \mathbb{R}_+ is equipped with the subspace topology (as a subspace of b with the usual topology), S is equipped with the metric topology induced by d , and $\mathbb{R}_+ \times S$ and $S \times S$ are equipped with the corresponding product topologies.

- (i) $d(\lambda x, \lambda y) = \lambda d(x, y)$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $x, y \in S$,
 (j) $d(x + z, y + z) = d(x, y)$, for all $x, y, z \in S$.

The embedding theorem.

The following is a special case of what Rådström proved in [5, Theorem 1].

Theorem

Let S be a near vector space.

- 1 There exist a vector space $R(S)$ and a map $j: S \rightarrow R(S)$ such that
 - 1 j is injective,
 - 2 $j(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha j(x) + \beta j(y) \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $x, y \in S$,
 - 3 $R(S) = j(S) - j(S) := \{j(x) - j(y) : x, y \in S\}$.
- 2 If $d: S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an invariant metric, then there exists a norm $\|\cdot\|_d$ on $R(S)$ such that

$$d(x, y) = \|j(x) - j(y)\|_d, \text{ for all } x, y \in S.$$

Rådström's embedding theorem proof

Consider $S \times S$ and define \sim on $S \times S$ by

$$(x, y) \sim (x_1, y_1) \Leftrightarrow x + y_1 = x_1 + y.$$

Then \sim is an equivalence relation on $S \times S$. Let

$$[x, y] := \{(x_1, y_1) \in S \times S : (x, y) \sim (x_1, y_1)\}.$$

On the quotient $R(S) := (S \times S)/\sim = \{[x, y] : (x, y) \in S \times S\}$,
 define addition by

$$[x, y] + [x_1, y_1] = [x + x_1, y + y_1].$$

Then $R(S)$ is an abelian group with additive identity $[x, x]$ and
 additive inverse

$$-[x, y] := [y, x], \text{ for any } (x, y) \in S \times S.$$

If multiplication by positive scalars is defined on S with the properties as stated above, define scalar multiplication $\cdot : \mathbb{R} \times R(S) \rightarrow R(S)$ by

$$\lambda \cdot [x, y] := \begin{cases} [\lambda x, \lambda y] & \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \\ [-\lambda y, -\lambda x] & -\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ . \end{cases}$$

Then $R(S)$ is a vector space.

If d is an invariant metric on S , then $\|\cdot\|_d$, defined by

$$\|[x, y]\|_d := d(x, y), \text{ for all } [x, y] \in R(S),$$

is a norm on $R(S)$ with the desired property.

The map $j: S \rightarrow R(S)$, defined by

$$j(x) = [x + z, z], \text{ for all } x \in S,$$

for any $z \in S$, has the desired properties.

Our problem

We aimed to answer the following questions.

- What results can we obtain if the near vector space was equipped with a partial ordering?
- What happens if the near vector space is equipped with a 'order unit'?
- When can you obtain a $C(\Omega)$ embedding?
- Finally, which conditions on the near vector space are required for an $L^p(\mu)$ embedding?

Our problem

We aimed to answer the following questions.

- What results can we obtain if the near vector space was equipped with a partial ordering?
- What happens if the near vector space is equipped with a 'order unit'?
- When can you obtain a $C(\Omega)$ embedding?
- Finally, which conditions on the near vector space are required for an $L^p(\mu)$ embedding?

Our problem

We aimed to answer the following questions.

- What results can we obtain if the near vector space was equipped with a partial ordering?
- What happens if the near vector space is equipped with a 'order unit'?
- When can you obtain a $C(\Omega)$ embedding?
- Finally, which conditions on the near vector space are required for an $L^p(\mu)$ embedding?

Our problem

We aimed to answer the following questions.

- What results can we obtain if the near vector space was equipped with a partial ordering?
- What happens if the near vector space is equipped with a 'order unit'?
- When can you obtain a $C(\Omega)$ embedding?
- Finally, which conditions on the near vector space are required for an $L^p(\mu)$ embedding?

Our problem

We aimed to answer the following questions.

- What results can we obtain if the near vector space was equipped with a partial ordering?
- What happens if the near vector space is equipped with a 'order unit'?
- When can you obtain a $C(\Omega)$ embedding?
- Finally, which conditions on the near vector space are required for an $L^P(\mu)$ embedding?

Outline

- 1 Motivation
 - The basic problem that we studied
 - Rådström's embedding theorem
- 2 Our Results/Contribution
 - **Main Results**
 - Applications

Near vector lattices

Definition

Let S be a near vector space.

(a) If (S, \leq) is a partially ordered set such that \leq is compatible with addition and multiplication by positive scalars; i.e.,

(i) $x \leq y \Rightarrow x + z \leq y + z$, and

(ii) $x \leq y \Rightarrow \alpha x \leq \alpha y$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

then S is called an *ordered near vector space*.

(b) If S is an ordered near vector space and (S, \leq) is a join-semilattice for which

$$(x \vee y) + z = (x + z) \vee (y + z), \text{ for all } x, y, z \in S,$$

then S is called a *near vector lattice*.

Examples

Let X be a Banach space.

- $(\text{cbf}(X), \oplus)$ satisfies the cancellation law (C). It is then easily verified, that $(\text{cbf}(X), \oplus, \cdot, \subset)$ satisfies the other properties required to be an ordered vector space. Furthermore, $(\text{cbf}(X), \subset)$ is a join-semilattice with join given by

$$K_1 \vee K_2 = \overline{\text{co}}(K_1 \cup K_2)$$

(where the latter denotes the norm closure of the convex hull of $K_1 \cup K_2$).

Then, $\text{cbf}(X)$ is a near vector lattice.

- It is well-known that if $K_1, K_2 \in \text{ck}(X)$, then $K_1 \oplus K_2 = K_1 + K_2 \in \text{ck}(X)$.
 If $K_1, K_2 \in \text{ck}(X)$, then $K_1 \vee K_2 \in \text{ck}(X)$. It follows easily that $\text{ck}(X)$ is a near vector lattice with respect to the operations and order induced by $\text{cwk}(X)$.

Examples

Let X be a Banach space.

- $(\text{cbf}(X), \oplus)$ satisfies the cancellation law (C). It is then easily verified, that $(\text{cbf}(X), \oplus, \cdot, \subset)$ satisfies the other properties required to be an ordered vector space. Furthermore, $(\text{cbf}(X), \subset)$ is a join-semilattice with join given by

$$K_1 \vee K_2 = \overline{\text{co}}(K_1 \cup K_2)$$

(where the latter denotes the norm closure of the convex hull of $K_1 \cup K_2$).

Then, $\text{cbf}(X)$ is a near vector lattice.

- It is well-known that if $K_1, K_2 \in \text{ck}(X)$, then $K_1 \oplus K_2 = K_1 + K_2 \in \text{ck}(X)$.

If $K_1, K_2 \in \text{ck}(X)$, then $K_1 \vee K_2 \in \text{ck}(X)$. It follows easily that $\text{ck}(X)$ is a near vector lattice with respect to the operations and order induced by $\text{cwk}(X)$.

Properties of near vector lattices

Let S be a near vector lattice. Then $R(S)$ is a vector lattice, with positive cone $R(S)_+ := \{[x, y] : y \leq x\}$, in which the following formulas hold:

- 1 $[x, y]^+ = [x \vee y, y]$,
- 2 $[x, y]^- = [x \vee y, x]$,
- 3 $|[x, y]| = [2(x \vee y), x + y]$,
- 4 $[x, y] \vee [x_1, y_1] = [(x_1 + y) \vee (x + y_1), y + y_1]$,
- 5 $[x, y] \wedge [x_1, y_1] = [x + x_1, (x_1 + y) \vee (x + y_1)]$.

Zero elements

Definition

Let S be an ordered near vector space [near vector lattice] which also satisfies

- (Z) there exists $0 \in S$ such that $x + 0 = x$, for all $x \in S$ and $\lambda 0 = 0$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Then S is said to be an *ordered near vector space [near vector lattice] with a zero*.

Some important isomorphisms

Theorem

Let E be a vector lattice. Then $J: R(E_+) \rightarrow E$, defined by

$$J([x, y]) = x - y, \text{ for all } x, y \in E_+,$$

is a vector lattice isomorphism.

Corollary

Let E be a Riesz normed vector lattice. Then

- 1 $d_{\|\cdot\|}: E_+ \times E_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, defined by $d_{\|\cdot\|}(x, y) = \|x - y\|$, for all $x, y \in E_+$, is a Riesz metric on E_+ , and
- 2 the map J , as in the embedding theorem, is a surjective vector lattice and isometric isomorphism.

Riesz (semi)norms

Definition

A Riesz (semi)norm $\|\cdot\|$ on a vector lattice E is called an M -(semi)norm on E , provided that

$$(M) \quad \|x \vee y\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}, \text{ for all } x, y \in E_+.$$

In this case we call $E = (E, \|\cdot\|)$ an M -(semi)normed space

Definition

A Riesz (semi)norm on a vector lattice E is called an L -(semi)norm, provided that

$$\|x + y\| = \|x\| + \|y\|, \text{ for all } x, y \in E_+.$$

A vector lattice equipped with an L -(semi)norm is said to be an L -(semi)normed space.

Riesz (semi)norms

Definition

A Riesz (semi)norm $\|\cdot\|$ on a vector lattice E is called an M -(semi)norm on E , provided that

$$(M) \quad \|x \vee y\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}, \text{ for all } x, y \in E_+.$$

In this case we call $E = (E, \|\cdot\|)$ an M -(semi)normed space

Definition

A Riesz (semi)norm on a vector lattice E is called an L -(semi)norm, provided that

$$\|x + y\| = \|x\| + \|y\|, \text{ for all } x, y \in E_+.$$

A vector lattice equipped with an L -(semi)norm is said to be an L -(semi)normed space.

Theorem (Kakutani's M -space representation theorem, [2])

Let E be any M -normed space with an order unit, then there exists a compact Hausdorff space Ω such that E is isometric and lattice isomorphic to the space $C(\Omega)$ of all continuous real-valued functions $f(\omega)$ defined on Ω .

Order unit for a near vector lattice and examples

Definition

Let S be an ordered near vector space. If $e \in S$ has the property that

(ou) for all $x, y \in S$, there exists $K \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $x \leq Ke + y$
 and $y \leq Ke + x$,

then e is called an *order unit* of S .

Lemma

Let S be an ordered near vector space which has an order unit e . Then

- 1 *$[e + z, z]$ is an order unit of the ordered vector space $R(S)$, for any fixed $z \in S$, and*
- 2 *$R(S)$ is Archimedean if S has a zero and is Archimedean.*

Order unit for a near vector lattice and examples

Definition

Let S be an ordered near vector space. If $e \in S$ has the property that

(ou) for all $x, y \in S$, there exists $K \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $x \leq Ke + y$
 and $y \leq Ke + x$,

then e is called an *order unit* of S .

Lemma

Let S be an ordered near vector space which has an order unit e . Then

- 1 $[e + z, z]$ is an order unit of the ordered vector space $R(S)$, for any fixed $z \in S$, and
- 2 $R(S)$ is Archimedean if S has a zero and is Archimedean.

Examples

Let X be a Banach space.

- 1 Then B_X is an order unit of the near vector lattice $\text{cbf}(X)$.
- 2 If X is reflexive, then B_X is an order unit of the near vector lattice $\text{cw}k(X)$.
- 3 If X is finite dimensional, then B_X is an order unit of the near vector lattice $\text{ck}(X)$.

Examples

Let X be a Banach space.

- 1 Then B_X is an order unit of the near vector lattice $\text{cbf}(X)$.
- 2 If X is reflexive, then B_X is an order unit of the near vector lattice $\text{cw}k(X)$.
- 3 If X is finite dimensional, then B_X is an order unit of the near vector lattice $\text{ck}(X)$.

Examples

Let X be a Banach space.

- 1 Then B_X is an order unit of the near vector lattice $\text{cbf}(X)$.
- 2 If X is reflexive, then B_X is an order unit of the near vector lattice $\text{cwk}(X)$.
- 3 If X is finite dimensional, then B_X is an order unit of the near vector lattice $\text{ck}(X)$.

Examples

Let X be a Banach space.

- 1 Then B_X is an order unit of the near vector lattice $\text{cbf}(X)$.
- 2 If X is reflexive, then B_X is an order unit of the near vector lattice $\text{cwk}(X)$.
- 3 If X is finite dimensional, then B_X is an order unit of the near vector lattice $\text{ck}(X)$.

A $C(\Omega)$ embedding

Theorem

Let S be an Archimedean near vector lattice with a zero and an order unit $e \in S$. Then there exist a compact Hausdorff space Ω and a map $K: S \rightarrow C(\Omega)$ such that:

- 1 K is injective.
- 2 $K(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha K(x) + \beta K(y)$, for all $x, y \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_+$.
- 3 $K(S) - K(S)$ is norm dense in $C(\Omega)$.
- 4 $h_e(x, y) = \|K(x) - K(y)\|_\infty$, for all $x, y \in S$.
- 5 $K(x \vee y) = K(x) \vee K(y)$, for all $x, y \in S$.
- 6 $K(e) = \mathbf{1}$.

An $L^p(\mu)$ embedding

Lemma

Let S be a near vector lattice and $d: S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ an invariant metric. Then d is a Riesz metric and

(L_d) $d(x + x_1, y + y_1) = d(x, y) + d(x_1, y_1)$, for all $x, y, x_1, y_1 \in S$ such that $y \leq x$ and $y_1 \leq x_1$ if and only if $\|\cdot\|_d$ is an L -norm on $R(S)$.

Theorem

Let S be an Archimedean near vector lattice with 0 as zero and e as an order unit. If $d: S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a Riesz metric with property (L_d) and $d(e, 0) = 1$, then there exist a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) and a map $K: S \rightarrow L^1(\mu)$ such that:

- 1 K is injective.
- 2 $K(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha K(x) + \beta K(y)$, for all $x, y \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_+$.
- 3 $K(S) - K(S)$ is norm dense in $L^1(\mu)$.
- 4 $d(x, y) = \|K(x) - K(y)\|_1$, for all $x, y \in S$.
- 5 $K(x \vee y) = K(x) \vee K(y)$, for all $x, y \in S$.
- 6 $K(e) = \mathbf{1}$, where the latter denotes a function which is one almost everywhere.

Outline

- 1 Motivation
 - The basic problem that we studied
 - Rådström's embedding theorem
- 2 Our Results/Contribution
 - Main Results
 - Applications

A version of Doob's decomposition theorem [see [4], p.159]

Let $X^* = \{x^* : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x^* \text{ is linear and continuous}\}$. For every bounded subset C of X and each $x^* \in X^*$, let

$$s(x^*, C) := \sup\{x^*(x) : x \in C\}.$$

Theorem

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space and X a separable real Banach space. Let (F_i, Σ_i) be a set-valued submartingale in $\mathcal{L}^1[\Omega, \text{cf}(X)]$; If there exists $B \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(B) = 0$ such that for any $\omega \notin B$ and all $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (i) $s(\cdot, \mathcal{E}[F_i | \Sigma_{i-1}](\omega)) - s(\cdot, F_{i-1}(\omega))$, and
- (ii) $s(\cdot, F_i(\omega)) - s(\cdot, \mathcal{E}[F_i | \Sigma_{i-1}](\omega))$,

are convex functions on X' , then (F_i, Σ_i) can be decomposed

A version of Doob's decomposition theorem [see [4], p.159]

Let $X^* = \{x^* : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x^* \text{ is linear and continuous}\}$. For every bounded subset C of X and each $x^* \in X^*$, let

$$s(x^*, C) := \sup\{x^*(x) : x \in C\}.$$

Theorem

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space and X a separable real Banach space. Let (F_i, Σ_i) be a set-valued submartingale in $\mathcal{L}^1[\Omega, \text{cf}(X)]$; If there exists $B \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(B) = 0$ such that for any $\omega \notin B$ and all $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (i) $s(\cdot, \mathcal{E}[F_i | \Sigma_{i-1}](\omega)) - s(\cdot, F_{i-1}(\omega))$, and
- (ii) $s(\cdot, F_i(\omega)) - s(\cdot, \mathcal{E}[F_i | \Sigma_{i-1}](\omega))$,

are convex functions on X' , then (F_i, Σ_i) can be decomposed

Theorem

as

$$F_i(\omega) = M_i(\omega) \oplus A_i(\omega), \text{ for all } \omega \notin B,$$

where (M_i, Σ_i) is a set-valued martingale and (A_i) is a set-valued predictable increasing sequence such that for all $\omega \notin B$:

(a) $A_1(\omega) = 0,$

(b) $A_j(\omega) = \overline{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathcal{E}[F_{i+1} | \Sigma_i](\omega) \ominus F_i(\omega) \right)},$ for all $j \geq 2,$

(c) $M_1(\omega) = F_1(\omega),$ and

(d) $M_j(\omega) = \overline{\left(\sum_{i=2}^j [F_i(\omega) \ominus \mathcal{E}[F_i | \Sigma_{i-1}]](\omega) \right)} + F_1(\omega),$ for all $j \geq 2.$

Summary

- The main point of this talk is to explain the relationship between collections of subsets of a Banach space and vector spaces with a particular interest in the role of order.
- Near vector spaces and near vector lattices occur frequently in set-valued analysis.

Some important references I



S. KAKUTANI,

Concrete representation of abstract (L)-spaces and the mean ergodic theorem,

Annals of Mathematics, 42 (1941), 523–537.



S. KAKUTANI,

Concrete representation of abstract (M)-spaces,

Annals of Mathematics, 42 (1941), 994–1024.






M. KREIN, V. SMULIAN,



On regular convex sets in the space conjugate to a Banach space,

Annals of Mathematics, 41 (1941), 556–583.

Some important references II

-  S. LI, Y. OGURA, V. KREINOVICH,
Limit theorems and applications of set-valued and fuzzy
set-valued random variables,
Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 2002.
-  H. RÅDSTRÖM,
An embedding theorem for spaces of convex sets,
Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 3 (1952), 165–169.
-  C.C.A. LABUSCHAGNE, A.L. PINCHUCK,
The set of fuzzy points of a fuzzy vector lattice is not a
vector lattice,
Fuzzy sets and Systems, 157, no. 20 (2006), 2783–2785.

Some important references III

-  C.C.A. LABUSCHAGNE, A.L. PINCHUCK, C.J. VAN ALTEN,
A vector lattice version of Rådström's embedding theorem,
Quaest. Math., 30, no. 3 (2007), 285–308.
-  C.C.A. LABUSCHAGNE, A.L. PINCHUCK,
Doob's decomposition of set-valued submartingales via
ordered near vector spaces,
Quaest. Math., 32 (2009), 247–264.

Thank you for your attention!!