

Integration in complex Riesz space setting and some application in harmonic analysis

Valentin Skvortsov

Institute of Mathematics Casimirus the Great University,
POLAND

and

Department of Mathematics, Moscow State University,
RUSSIA

Joint work with F. Tulone, Department of Mathematics, Palermo University
and A. Boccuto, Department of Mathematics, Perugia University ITALY

Positivity VII

22-26 July 2013, Leiden

The **problem of recovering** the coefficients in the classical case:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} = f(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow a_n(f) = \frac{1}{\pi} (M) \int_T f(x) e^{-2\pi i n x} dx.$$

Where M is some appropriate method of integration.

The **problem of recovering** the coefficients in the classical case:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} = f(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow a_n(f) = \frac{1}{\pi} (M) \int_T f(x) e^{-2\pi i n x} dx.$$

Where M is some appropriate method of integration.

In the locally compact case, if the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(x) e^{ixy} dx,$$

with $a(x)$ locally summable, converges to a function $f(y)$, the problem of recovering is to recover the function $a(x)$, i.e., to obtain an inversion formula. For a locally summable f it was

$$a(x) = (C, 1)\text{-}\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-h}^h f(y) e^{-ixy} dy$$

Walsh system $\{w_n\}$ is the group of characters of the Cantor dyadic group.

Walsh system $\{w_n\}$ is the group of characters of the Cantor dyadic group.

We consider here the problem of recovering of **Riesz-space-valued functions** by generalized Fourier formulae for series (in the case of a compact group) or transform with respect to characters of any **zero-dimensional locally compact abelian group**.

Walsh system $\{w_n\}$ is the group of characters of the Cantor dyadic group.

We consider here the problem of recovering of **Riesz-space-valued functions** by generalized Fourier formulae for series (in the case of a compact group) or transform with respect to characters of any **zero-dimensional locally compact abelian group**.

By this we extend our results from

A. Boccuto, V. Skvortsov

Henstock-Kurzweil type integration of Riesz-space-valued functions and applications to Walsh series, Real Analysis Exchange Vol 29 (2003/2004), 419-439.

As characters are in general complex valued functions we need *complexified* Riesz spaces. To have a suitable notion of **the absolute value** we assume our Riesz space to be Archimedean and uniformly complete (or assume it to be Dedekind complete).

As characters are in general complex valued functions we need *complexified* Riesz spaces. To have a suitable notion of **the absolute value** we assume our Riesz space to be Archimedean and uniformly complete (or assume it to be Dedekind complete).

So for $h = f + ig$ we define:

As characters are in general complex valued functions we need *complexified* Riesz spaces. To have a suitable notion of **the absolute value** we assume our Riesz space to be Archimedean and uniformly complete (or assume it to be Dedekind complete).

So for $h = f + ig$ we define:

$$|h| := \sup_{\theta} (f \cos \theta + g \sin \theta).$$

We consider a **zero-dimensional locally compact abelian group** G which satisfies the second countability axiom. We suppose that the group G is periodic (= totally disconnected + compactly covered).

We consider a **zero-dimensional locally compact abelian group** G which satisfies the second countability axiom. We suppose that the group G is periodic (= totally disconnected + compactly covered).

A topology in such a group can be given by a chain of subgroups

$$\dots \supset G_{-n} \supset \dots \supset G_{-1} \supset G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \dots \supset G_n \supset \dots$$

with $G = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} G_n$ and $\{0\} = \bigcap_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} G_n$.

We consider a **zero-dimensional locally compact abelian group** G which satisfies the second countability axiom. We suppose that the group G is periodic (= totally disconnected + compactly covered).

A topology in such a group can be given by a chain of subgroups

$$\dots \supset G_{-n} \supset \dots \supset G_{-1} \supset G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \dots \supset G_n \supset \dots$$

with $G = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} G_n$ and $\{0\} = \bigcap_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} G_n$.

The subgroups G_n are clopen w.r. to this topology. Under our assumption G_n/G_{n+1} is finite for each n . So G_n (and all its cosets) is compact.

We consider a **zero-dimensional locally compact abelian group** G which satisfies the second countability axiom. We suppose that the group G is periodic (= totally disconnected + compactly covered).

A topology in such a group can be given by a chain of subgroups

$$\dots \supset G_{-n} \supset \dots \supset G_{-1} \supset G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \dots \supset G_n \supset \dots$$

with $G = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} G_n$ and $\{0\} = \bigcap_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} G_n$.

The subgroups G_n are clopen w.r. to this topology. Under our assumption G_n/G_{n+1} is finite for each n . So G_n (and all its cosets) is compact.

If G is compact we put $G_0 = G$.

We consider a **zero-dimensional locally compact abelian group** G which satisfies the second countability axiom. We suppose that the group G is periodic (= totally disconnected + compactly covered).

A topology in such a group can be given by a chain of subgroups

$$\dots \supset G_{-n} \supset \dots \supset G_{-1} \supset G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \dots \supset G_n \supset \dots$$

with $G = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} G_n$ and $\{0\} = \bigcap_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} G_n$.

The subgroups G_n are clopen w.r. to this topology. Under our assumption G_n/G_{n+1} is finite for each n . So G_n (and all its cosets) is compact.

If G is compact we put $G_0 = G$.

Let the order of the factor group G_n/G_{n+1} be p_n . Then the order of G_0/G_1 is p_0 , and the order of G_0/G_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, is

$m_n := p_0 \cdot p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_{n-1}$, with $p_i \geq 2$ for all i (put $m_0 := 1$).

We consider a **zero-dimensional locally compact abelian group** G which satisfies the second countability axiom. We suppose that the group G is periodic (= totally disconnected + compactly covered).

A topology in such a group can be given by a chain of subgroups

$$\dots \supset G_{-n} \supset \dots \supset G_{-1} \supset G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \dots \supset G_n \supset \dots$$

with $G = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} G_n$ and $\{0\} = \bigcap_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} G_n$.

The subgroups G_n are clopen w.r. to this topology. Under our assumption G_n/G_{n+1} is finite for each n . So G_n (and all its cosets) is compact.

If G is compact we put $G_0 = G$.

Let the order of the factor group G_n/G_{n+1} be p_n . Then the order of G_0/G_1 is p_0 , and the order of G_0/G_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, is

$m_n := p_0 \cdot p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_{n-1}$, with $p_i \geq 2$ for all i (put $m_0 := 1$).

Denote by K_n any coset of G_n and by $K_n(g)$ the coset

$$K_n(g) = g + G_n.$$

For each $g \in G$ the uniquely defined sequence $\{K_n(g)\}$ is decreasing and $\{g\} = \bigcap_n K_n(g)$.

Let Γ be the dual group of G , i.e., the **group of characters** of G .

Let Γ be the dual group of G , i.e., the **group of characters** of G .

Under the assumptions imposed on G the group Γ is also a periodic locally compact zero-dimensional abelian group (with respect to the pointwise multiplication of characters). It can be represented as a sum of increasing sequence of subgroups:

$$\dots \supset \Gamma_{-n} \supset \dots \supset \Gamma_{-1} \supset \Gamma_0 \supset \Gamma_1 \supset \dots \supset \Gamma_n \supset \dots$$

introducing a topology in Γ .

Then $\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} \Gamma_i$ and $\bigcap_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} \Gamma_i = \{\gamma^{(0)}\}$ where $(g, \gamma^{(0)}) = 1$ for all $g \in G$ (here (g, γ) denote the value of a character γ at a point g).

Let Γ be the dual group of G , i.e., the **group of characters** of G .

Under the assumptions imposed on G the group Γ is also a periodic locally compact zero-dimensional abelian group (with respect to the pointwise multiplication of characters). It can be represented as a sum of increasing sequence of subgroups:

$$\dots \supset \Gamma_{-n} \supset \dots \supset \Gamma_{-1} \supset \Gamma_0 \supset \Gamma_1 \supset \dots \supset \Gamma_n \supset \dots$$

introducing a topology in Γ .

Then $\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} \Gamma_i$ and $\bigcap_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} \Gamma_i = \{\gamma^{(0)}\}$ where $(g, \gamma^{(0)}) = 1$ for all $g \in G$ (here (g, γ) denote the value of a character γ at a point g).

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the group Γ_{-n} is the **annulator** of G_n , i.e.,

$$\Gamma_{-n} = G_n^\perp = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : (g, \gamma) = 1 \text{ for all } g \in G_n\}.$$

In the compact case $G = G_0$ the group Γ of characters is discrete and it can be represented as a sum of increasing chain of finite subgroups

$$\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma_{-1} \subset \Gamma_{-2} \subset \dots \subset \Gamma_{-n} \subset \dots$$

where $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma^{(0)}\}$ with $(g, \gamma^{(0)}) = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

In the compact case $G = G_0$ the group Γ of characters is discrete and it can be represented as a sum of increasing chain of finite subgroups

$$\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma_{-1} \subset \Gamma_{-2} \subset \dots \subset \Gamma_{-n} \subset \dots$$

where $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma^{(0)}\}$ with $(g, \gamma^{(0)}) = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

In view of isomorphism between G_0/G_n and Γ_{-n}/Γ_0 the order of the subgroup Γ_{-n} is m_n .

In the compact case $G = G_0$ the group Γ of characters is discrete and it can be represented as a sum of increasing chain of finite subgroups

$$\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma_{-1} \subset \Gamma_{-2} \subset \dots \subset \Gamma_{-n} \subset \dots$$

where $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma^{(0)}\}$ with $(g, \gamma^{(0)}) = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

In view of isomorphism between G_0/G_n and Γ_{-n}/Γ_0 the order of the subgroup Γ_{-n} is m_n .

The characters γ constitute a countable orthonormal system on G with respect to normalized Haar measure μ_G and we can consider series with $a_\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma \gamma$$

In the compact case $G = G_0$ the group Γ of characters is discrete and it can be represented as a sum of increasing chain of finite subgroups

$$\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma_{-1} \subset \Gamma_{-2} \subset \dots \subset \Gamma_{-n} \subset \dots$$

where $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma^{(0)}\}$ with $(g, \gamma^{(0)}) = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

In view of isomorphism between G_0/G_n and Γ_{-n}/Γ_0 the order of the subgroup Γ_{-n} is m_n .

The characters γ constitute a countable orthonormal system on G with respect to normalized Haar measure μ_G and we can consider series with $a_\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma \gamma$$

Convergence of this series at a point g is the convergence of its partial sums

$$S_n(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{-n}} a_\gamma \gamma(g)$$

Haar measures μ_G and μ_Γ on G and Γ , respectively, and normalized so that $\mu_G(G_0) = \mu_\Gamma(\Gamma_0) = 1$. Since μ_G is translation invariant,

$$\mu_G(G_n) = \mu_G(K_n) = \frac{1}{m_n}$$

for all the cosets K_n , $n \geq 0$.

We make those measures to be complete in a usual way.

Derivation basis \mathcal{B} on the measure space (G, \mathcal{M}, μ_G) .

Taking any function $\nu : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, we define a basis set by

$$\beta_\nu = \{(I, g) : g \in I, I = K_n(g), n \geq \nu(g)\}.$$

So our basis $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_G$ in G is the family $\{\beta_\nu : \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^G\}$.

Derivation basis \mathcal{B} on the measure space (G, \mathcal{M}, μ_G) .

Taking any function $\nu : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, we define a basis set by

$$\beta_\nu = \{(I, g) : g \in I, I = K_n(g), n \geq \nu(g)\}.$$

So our basis $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_G$ in G is the family $\{\beta_\nu : \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^G\}$.

Such a basis we considered in

V. Skvortsov, F. Tulone

Kurzweil-Henstock type integral on zero-dimensional group and some of its applications, Czech. Math. Journal, 58 (133) (2008), 1167–1183

Derivation basis \mathcal{B} on the measure space (G, \mathcal{M}, μ_G) .

Taking any function $\nu : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, we define a basis set by

$$\beta_\nu = \{(I, g) : g \in I, I = K_n(g), n \geq \nu(g)\}.$$

So our basis $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_G$ in G is the family $\{\beta_\nu : \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^G\}$.

Such a basis we considered in

V. Skvortsov, F. Tulone

Kurzweil-Henstock type integral on zero-dimensional group and some of its applications, Czech. Math. Journal, 58 (133) (2008), 1167–1183

Let \mathcal{I} denote the set of all the cosets K_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We call them \mathcal{B} -intervals.

Derivation basis \mathcal{B} on the measure space (G, \mathcal{M}, μ_G) .

Taking any function $\nu : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, we define a basis set by

$$\beta_\nu = \{(I, g) : g \in I, I = K_n(g), n \geq \nu(g)\}.$$

So our basis $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_G$ in G is the family $\{\beta_\nu : \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^G\}$.

Such a basis we considered in

V. Skvortsov, F. Tulone

Kurzweil-Henstock type integral on zero-dimensional group and some of its applications, Czech. Math. Journal, 58 (133) (2008), 1167–1183

Let \mathcal{I} denote the set of all the cosets K_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We call them \mathcal{B} -intervals.

\mathcal{B} has the filter base property: $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{B}$ and for every $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\beta \subset \beta_1 \cap \beta_2$.

β -partition is a finite collection π of elements of β , where the distinct elements (I', x') and (I'', x'') in π have I' and I'' nonoverlapping, i.e., $\mu(I' \cap I'') = 0$.

Let $L \in \mathcal{I}$. If $\cup_{(I,x) \in \pi} I = L$ then π is called **β -partition of L** .

β -partition is a finite collection π of elements of β , where the distinct elements (I', x') and (I'', x'') in π have I' and I'' nonoverlapping, i.e., $\mu(I' \cap I'') = 0$.

Let $L \in \mathcal{I}$. If $\cup_{(I,x) \in \pi} I = L$ then π is called **β -partition of L** .

Our basis \mathcal{B} has **partitioning property**:

(i) for each finite collection I_0, I_1, \dots, I_n of \mathcal{B} -intervals with $I_1, \dots, I_n \subset I_0$ the difference $I_0 \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n I_i$ can be expressed as a finite union of pairwise non-overlapping \mathcal{B} -intervals;

(ii) for each \mathcal{B} -interval I and for any $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists a β -partition of I .

β -partition is a finite collection π of elements of β , where the distinct elements (I', x') and (I'', x'') in π have I' and I'' nonoverlapping, i.e., $\mu(I' \cap I'') = 0$.

Let $L \in \mathcal{I}$. If $\cup_{(I,x) \in \pi} I = L$ then π is called **β -partition of L** .

Our basis \mathcal{B} has **partitioning property**:

(i) for each finite collection I_0, I_1, \dots, I_n of \mathcal{B} -intervals with $I_1, \dots, I_n \subset I_0$ the difference $I_0 \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n I_i$ can be expressed as a finite union of pairwise non-overlapping \mathcal{B} -intervals;

(ii) for each \mathcal{B} -interval I and for any $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists a β -partition of I .

It follows easily from compactness of any \mathcal{B} -interval and the fact that any two \mathcal{B} -intervals K' and K'' are either disjoint or one of them is contained in the other one.

Let R be complexification of a Dedekind complete Riesz space.

Definition

We say that $f : L \rightarrow R$ is **Henstock-Kurzweil integrable** on a \mathcal{B} -interval L w.r. to \mathcal{B} (in brief, $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable) if there exists $A \in R$ such that

$$\inf_{\nu} \left(\sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{(I,g) \in \pi} f(g) \mu(I) - A \right| : \pi \text{ is a } \beta_{\nu}\text{-partition of } L \right\} \right) = 0.$$

In this case we write $(H_{\mathcal{B}}) \int_L f = A$.

Let R be complexification of a Dedekind complete Riesz space.

Definition

We say that $f : L \rightarrow R$ is **Henstock-Kurzweil integrable** on a \mathcal{B} -interval L w.r. to \mathcal{B} (in brief, $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable) if there exists $A \in R$ such that

$$\inf_{\nu} \left(\sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{(I,g) \in \pi} f(g) \mu(I) - A \right| : \pi \text{ is a } \beta_{\nu}\text{-partition of } L \right\} \right) = 0.$$

In this case we write $(H_{\mathcal{B}}) \int_L f = A$.

If f is $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on G , the indefinite integral $F(I) = (H_{\mathcal{B}}) \int_I f$ exists for $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

Let R be complexification of a Dedekind complete Riesz space.

Definition

We say that $f : L \rightarrow R$ is **Henstock-Kurzweil integrable** on a \mathcal{B} -interval L w.r. to \mathcal{B} (in brief, $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable) if there exists $A \in R$ such that

$$\inf_{\nu} \left(\sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{(I,g) \in \pi} f(g) \mu(I) - A \right| : \pi \text{ is a } \beta_{\nu}\text{-partition of } L \right\} \right) = 0.$$

In this case we write $(H_{\mathcal{B}}) \int_L f = A$.

If f is $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on G , the indefinite integral $F(I) = (H_{\mathcal{B}}) \int_I f$ exists for $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

Theorem

f is $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on $L \Leftrightarrow$

$$\inf_{\nu} \left(\sup \left\{ \sum_{(I,g) \in \pi} |f(g) \mu(I) - F(I)| : \pi \text{ is a } \beta_{\nu}\text{-partition of } L \right\} \right) = 0.$$

Let $\tau : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow R$ be a \mathcal{B} -interval function **additive** on \mathcal{I}

τ is said to be **(o)-continuous** at $g \in G$ w.r. to the basis \mathcal{B} if

$$(o) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(K_n(g)) = 0.$$

Given $\emptyset \neq E \subset G$, we say that the function τ is **(o)-continuous on E** if it is (o)-continuous at every point $g \in E$.

Let $\tau : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow R$ be a \mathcal{B} -interval function **additive** on \mathcal{I}

τ is said to be **(o)-continuous** at $g \in G$ w.r. to the basis \mathcal{B} if

$${}^{(o)}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(K_n(g)) = 0.$$

Given $\emptyset \neq E \subset G$, we say that the function τ is **(o)-continuous on E** if it is (o)-continuous at every point $g \in E$.

τ is **(o)-differentiable** at $g \in G$ with respect to \mathcal{B} if

$${}^{(o)}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tau(K_n(g))}{\mu(K_n(g))}$$

exists. We denote it by $D_{\mathcal{B}}^{(o)}\tau(g)$.

Let $\tau : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow R$ be a \mathcal{B} -interval function **additive** on \mathcal{I}

τ is said to be **(o)-continuous** at $g \in G$ w.r. to the basis \mathcal{B} if

$$(o) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(K_n(g)) = 0.$$

Given $\emptyset \neq E \subset G$, we say that the function τ is **(o)-continuous on E** if it is (o)-continuous at every point $g \in E$.

τ is **(o)-differentiable** at $g \in G$ with respect to \mathcal{B} if

$$(o) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tau(K_n(g))}{\mu(K_n(g))}$$

exists. We denote it by $D_{\mathcal{B}}^{(o)}\tau(g)$.

τ is **(u)-differentiable** on E with respect to the basis \mathcal{B} if there exists a function $f : E \rightarrow R$ such that

$$\inf_{\nu} \left[\sup \left\{ \left| \frac{\tau(I)}{\mu(I)} - f(g) \right| : (I, g) \in \beta_{\nu}, g \in E \right\} \right] = 0.$$

f is called the **(u)-derivative** of τ with respect to \mathcal{B} on E and denoted by $D_{\mathcal{B}}^{(u)}\tau(g)$.

An example of a non-trivial \mathcal{B} -interval function for which the (o) -derivative is zero at each point of G .

An example of a non-trivial \mathcal{B} -interval function for which the (o) -derivative is zero at each point of G .

Let $L^0(G)$ be the Riesz space of measurable function. We define the function $F : (\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow L^0(G)$ as $F(I) = \chi_{(I)}$.

An example of a non-trivial \mathcal{B} -interval function for which the (o) -derivative is zero at each point of G .

Let $L^0(G)$ be the Riesz space of measurable function. We define the function $F : (\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow L^0(G)$ as $F(I) = \chi_{(I)}$.

Take any element $g \in G$. By definition of $D_{\mathcal{B}}^{(o)}$ -derivative we have

$$D_{\mathcal{B}}^{(o)} F(g) = (o) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_n \chi_{K_n}(g).$$

An example of a non-trivial \mathcal{B} -interval function for which the (o) -derivative is zero at each point of G .

Let $L^0(G)$ be the Riesz space of measurable function. We define the function $F : (\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow L^0(G)$ as $F(I) = \chi_{(I)}$.

Take any element $g \in G$. By definition of $D_{\mathcal{B}}^{(o)}$ -derivative we have

$$D_{\mathcal{B}}^{(o)} F(g) = (o) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_n \chi_{K_n(g)}.$$

To show that this (o) -limit is equal to zero, we define a monotone (o) -sequence

$$\varphi_n(s) = \begin{cases} m_j & \text{if } s \in K_j(g) \setminus K_{j+1}(g) \quad j \geq n \\ 0 & \text{if } s \in G \setminus K_n(g). \end{cases}$$

An example of a non-trivial \mathcal{B} -interval function for which the (o) -derivative is zero at each point of G .

Let $L^0(G)$ be the Riesz space of measurable function. We define the function $F : (\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow L^0(G)$ as $F(I) = \chi_{(I)}$.

Take any element $g \in G$. By definition of $D_{\mathcal{B}}^{(o)}$ -derivative we have

$$D_{\mathcal{B}}^{(o)} F(g) = (o) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_n \chi_{K_n(g)}.$$

To show that this (o) -limit is equal to zero, we define a monotone (o) -sequence

$$\varphi_n(s) = \begin{cases} m_j & \text{if } s \in K_j(g) \setminus K_{j+1}(g) \quad j \geq n \\ 0 & \text{if } s \in G \setminus K_n(g). \end{cases}$$

By construction $m_n \chi_{K_n(g)}(s) \leq \varphi_n(s)$ and $\inf \varphi_n = 0$. Therefore $D_{\mathcal{B}}^{(o)} F(g) = 0$ for all g , while F is not trivial.

Theorems on recovering the primitives by the $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integral

Theorems on recovering the primitives by the $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integral

Theorem 1

If a function $\tau : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow R$ is (u) -differentiable with respect to \mathcal{B} on \mathcal{B} -interval L then derivative $D_{\mathcal{B}}^{(u)}\tau(g)$ is $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on L , and

$$(H_{\mathcal{B}}) \int_L D_{\mathcal{B}}^{(u)}\tau(g) = \tau(L).$$

A Riesz space satisfies **property σ** if, given any sequence $(u_n)_n$ in R with $u_n \geq 0 \forall n \in N$, there exists a sequence $(\lambda_n)_n$ of positive real numbers, such that the sequence $(\lambda_n u_n)_n$ is bounded in R .

A Riesz space satisfies **property σ** if, given any sequence $(u_n)_n$ in R with $u_n \geq 0 \forall n \in N$, there exists a sequence $(\lambda_n)_n$ of positive real numbers, such that the sequence $(\lambda_n u_n)_n$ is bounded in R .

A Dedekind complete Riesz space is said to be **regular** if it satisfies property σ and if for each sequence $(r_n)_n$ in R , order convergent to zero, there exists a sequence $(l_n)_n$ of positive real numbers, with $\lim_n l_n = +\infty$, such that the sequence $(l_n r_n)_n$ is order convergent to zero.

A Riesz space satisfies **property σ** if, given any sequence $(u_n)_n$ in R with $u_n \geq 0 \forall n \in N$, there exists a sequence $(\lambda_n)_n$ of positive real numbers, such that the sequence $(\lambda_n u_n)_n$ is bounded in R .

A Dedekind complete Riesz space is said to be **regular** if it satisfies property σ and if for each sequence $(r_n)_n$ in R , order convergent to zero, there exists a sequence $(l_n)_n$ of positive real numbers, with $\lim_n l_n = +\infty$, such that the sequence $(l_n r_n)_n$ is order convergent to zero.

(Recall that in a regular Riesz space the (o) -convergence is equivalent to the (ru) -convergence.)

A Riesz space satisfies **property σ** if, given any sequence $(u_n)_n$ in R with $u_n \geq 0 \forall n \in N$, there exists a sequence $(\lambda_n)_n$ of positive real numbers, such that the sequence $(\lambda_n u_n)_n$ is bounded in R .

A Dedekind complete Riesz space is said to be **regular** if it satisfies property σ and if for each sequence $(r_n)_n$ in R , order convergent to zero, there exists a sequence $(l_n)_n$ of positive real numbers, with $\lim_n l_n = +\infty$, such that the sequence $(l_n r_n)_n$ is order convergent to zero.

(Recall that in a regular Riesz space the (o) -convergence is equivalent to the (ru) -convergence.)

Theorem 2

Let R be a complexification of a regular Riesz space, $f : L \rightarrow R$ and $\tau : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow R$ such that for some countable set $Q \subset L$ the function f is the (u) -derivative $D_{\mathcal{B}}^{(u)} \tau(g)$ on $L \setminus Q$ and τ is (o) -continuous on Q with respect to \mathcal{B} . Then f is $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on L , and

$$(H_{\mathcal{B}}) \int_L f = \tau(L).$$

Application to series with respect to characters of G

For partial sums $S_n(g)$ of the series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma \gamma$ we consider pointwise (o) -convergence as well as (u) -convergence on a set.

Application to series with respect to characters of G

For partial sums $S_n(g)$ of the series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma \gamma$ we consider pointwise (o) -convergence as well as (u) -convergence on a set.

Definition

Let E be any nonempty set, and $D = \mathbb{N}^E$. We say that the sequence of R -valued functions $(S_n(g))_n$, is (u) -convergent to the function $S(g)$ if there exists an (o) -net $(p_\nu)_{\nu \in D}$ such that $\forall \nu \in D$ we have:

$$\sup\{|S_n(g) - S(g)| : g \in E, n \geq \nu(g)\} \leq p_\nu.$$

Application to series with respect to characters of G

For partial sums $S_n(g)$ of the series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma \gamma$ we consider pointwise (o) -convergence as well as (u) -convergence on a set.

Definition

Let E be any nonempty set, and $D = \mathbb{N}^E$. We say that the sequence of R -valued functions $(S_n(g))_n$, is (u) -convergent to the function $S(g)$ if there exists an (o) -net $(p_\nu)_{\nu \in D}$ such that $\forall \nu \in D$ we have:

$$\sup\{|S_n(g) - S(g)| : g \in E, n \geq \nu(g)\} \leq p_\nu.$$

We associate with the series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma \gamma$ a set function F defined on each coset K_n by $F(K_n) = \int_{K_n} S_n(g) d\mu_G$. It can be checked that F is additive on \mathcal{I} .

Since the sum S_n is constant on each K_n , the definition of $F(K_n)$ implies

$$S_n(g) = \frac{F(K_n(g))}{\mu_G(K_n(g))}.$$

Application to series with respect to characters of G

For partial sums $S_n(g)$ of the series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma \gamma$ we consider pointwise (o) -convergence as well as (u) -convergence on a set.

Definition

Let E be any nonempty set, and $D = \mathbb{N}^E$. We say that the sequence of R -valued functions $(S_n(g))_n$, is (u) -convergent to the function $S(g)$ if there exists an (o) -net $(p_\nu)_{\nu \in D}$ such that $\forall \nu \in D$ we have:

$$\sup\{|S_n(g) - S(g)| : g \in E, n \geq \nu(g)\} \leq p_\nu.$$

We associate with the series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma \gamma$ a set function F defined on each coset K_n by $F(K_n) = \int_{K_n} S_n(g) d\mu_G$. It can be checked that F is additive on \mathcal{I} .

Since the sum S_n is constant on each K_n , the definition of $F(K_n)$ implies

$$S_n(g) = \frac{F(K_n(g))}{\mu_G(K_n(g))}.$$

As a consequence we get:

Lemma 1

If the partial sums $S_n(g)$ of the series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma \gamma$ are (o) -convergent to a function f at a point g then the associated function F is (o) -differentiable to f at g . If the partial sums $S_n(g)$ are (u) -convergent to a function f on a set E then the associated function F is (u) -differentiable to f on E .

Lemma 1

If the partial sums $S_n(g)$ of the series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma \gamma$ are (o) -convergent to a function f at a point g then the associated function F is (o) -differentiable to f at g . If the partial sums $S_n(g)$ are (u) -convergent to a function f on a set E then the associated function F is (u) -differentiable to f on E .

Lemma 2

Let R be a regular Riesz space and the coefficients $a_\gamma \in R$ of the series satisfy condition

$$(o) \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup\{|a_\gamma| : \gamma \in \Gamma_{-(n+1)} \setminus \Gamma_{-n}\} = 0.$$

Then the associated function F is (o) -continuous at each point g .

Theorem 3

The series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_{\gamma} \gamma$ is the $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -Fourier series of some $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable function f if and only if the function F associated with this series coincides on each \mathcal{B} -interval I with the indefinite integral $(H_{\mathcal{B}}) \int_I f$.

Theorem 3

The series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma \gamma$ is the $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -Fourier series of some $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable function f if and only if the function F associated with this series coincides on each \mathcal{B} -interval I with the indefinite integral $(H_{\mathcal{B}}) \int_I f$.

Theorem 4

If R is a regular Riesz space and a series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma \gamma$ is (u) -convergent to a function f on a set $G \setminus E$, where E is a countable subset of G , and the coefficients a_γ satisfy the above condition, then f is $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on G and the series is the Fourier series of f in the sense of the $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integral.

Theorem 5 (for locally compact case)

If the the sequence of integrals

$$(H_{\mathcal{B}_\Gamma}) \int_{\Gamma_{-n}} a(\gamma)(g, \gamma) d\mu_\Gamma$$

(u) -converges on G to $f(g)$, where $a(\gamma)$ is (o) -continuous on Γ , then f is $H_{\mathcal{B}_G}$ -integrable on G_{-n} for each n and

$$a(\gamma) = (o) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (H_{\mathcal{B}_G}) \int_{G_{-n}} f(g) \overline{(g, \gamma)} d\mu_G \quad \text{a.e. on } \Gamma. \quad (1)$$

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!!