

Random unconditionally convergent bases in Banach spaces

Pedro Tradacete (UC3M)

Joint work with J. López-Abad (CSIC)

Positivity VII



Leiden, 22 July 2013

Outline

1 Introduction

2 Basic examples

3 Duality

4 Relation with unconditionality

Outline

1 Introduction

2 Basic examples

3 Duality

4 Relation with unconditionality

Outline

1 Introduction

2 Basic examples

3 Duality

4 Relation with unconditionality

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Basic examples
- 3 Duality
- 4 Relation with unconditionality

A basis $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of a Banach space X is **unconditional** provided for every $x \in X$ its expansion $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n x_n$ converges unconditionally.

Theorem

TFAE:

- (x_n) is an unconditional basis.
- For every $A \subset \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n x_n \text{ converges} \Rightarrow \sum_{n \in A} a_n x_n \text{ converges.}$$

- For every choice of signs $(\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n x_n \text{ converges} \Rightarrow \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \theta_n a_n x_n \text{ converges.}$$

A basis $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of a Banach space X is **unconditional** provided for every $x \in X$ its expansion $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n x_n$ converges unconditionally.

Theorem

TFAE:

- (x_n) is an unconditional basis.
- For every $A \subset \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n x_n \text{ converges} \Rightarrow \sum_{n \in A} a_n x_n \text{ converges.}$$

- For every choice of signs $(\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n x_n \text{ converges} \Rightarrow \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \theta_n a_n x_n \text{ converges.}$$

Given $\theta = (\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, let $M_\theta : X \rightarrow X$ given by

$$M_\theta \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n x_n \right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \theta_n a_n x_n$$

- (x_n) unconditional $\Leftrightarrow \sup_\theta \|M_\theta\| < \infty$.

Definition

A basis $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is **sub-unconditional** if there is $K \geq 1$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m a_n x_n \right\| \leq K \mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \theta_n a_n x_n \right\| \right) = K \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{(\theta_n) \in \{-1, +1\}^m} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \theta_n a_n x_n \right\|.$$

Notice:

- (x_n) unconditional $\Leftrightarrow \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m a_n x_n \right\| \approx \mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \theta_n a_n x_n \right\| \right)$.
- (x_n) sub-unconditional with $K = 1 \Rightarrow (x_n)$ unconditional.

Given $\theta = (\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, let $M_\theta : X \rightarrow X$ given by

$$M_\theta\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n x_n\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \theta_n a_n x_n$$

- (x_n) unconditional $\Leftrightarrow \sup_\theta \|M_\theta\| < \infty$.

Definition

A basis $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is **sub-unconditional** if there is $K \geq 1$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m a_n x_n \right\| \leq K \mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \theta_n a_n x_n \right\| \right) = K \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{(\theta_n) \in \{-1, +1\}^m} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \theta_n a_n x_n \right\|.$$

Notice:

- (x_n) unconditional $\Leftrightarrow \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m a_n x_n \right\| \approx \mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \theta_n a_n x_n \right\| \right)$.
- (x_n) sub-unconditional with $K = 1 \Rightarrow (x_n)$ unconditional.

Given $\theta = (\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, let $M_\theta : X \rightarrow X$ given by

$$M_\theta \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n x_n \right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \theta_n a_n x_n$$

- (x_n) unconditional $\Leftrightarrow \sup_\theta \|M_\theta\| < \infty$.

Definition

A basis $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is **sub-unconditional** if there is $K \geq 1$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m a_n x_n \right\| \leq K \mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \theta_n a_n x_n \right\| \right) = K \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{(\theta_n) \in \{-1, +1\}^m} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \theta_n a_n x_n \right\|.$$

Notice:

- (x_n) unconditional $\Leftrightarrow \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m a_n x_n \right\| \approx \mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \theta_n a_n x_n \right\| \right)$.
- (x_n) sub-unconditional with $K = 1 \Rightarrow (x_n)$ unconditional.

Given $\theta = (\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, let $M_\theta : X \rightarrow X$ given by

$$M_\theta \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n x_n \right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \theta_n a_n x_n$$

- (x_n) unconditional $\Leftrightarrow \sup_\theta \|M_\theta\| < \infty$.

Definition

A basis $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is **sub-unconditional** if there is $K \geq 1$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m a_n x_n \right\| \leq K \mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \theta_n a_n x_n \right\| \right) = K \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{(\theta_n) \in \{-1, +1\}^m} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \theta_n a_n x_n \right\|.$$

Notice:

- (x_n) unconditional $\Leftrightarrow \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m a_n x_n \right\| \approx \mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \theta_n a_n x_n \right\| \right)$.
- (x_n) sub-unconditional with $K = 1 \Rightarrow (x_n)$ unconditional.

Questions

- What properties of an unconditional basis work for sub-unconditional?
- Does every sub-unconditional basis have an unconditional subsequence (resp. blocks)?
- Is every block of a sub-unconditional basis, also sub-unconditional?
- Can reflexivity be characterized somehow? (in the spirit of James theorem)
- What are these bases good for?
- ...

Questions

- What properties of an unconditional basis work for sub-unconditional?
- Does every sub-unconditional basis have an unconditional subsequence (resp. blocks)?
- Is every block of a sub-unconditional basis, also sub-unconditional?
- Can reflexivity be characterized somehow? (in the spirit of James theorem)
- What are these bases good for?
- ...

Questions

- What properties of an unconditional basis work for sub-unconditional?
- Does every sub-unconditional basis have an unconditional subsequence (resp. blocks)?
- Is every block of a sub-unconditional basis, also sub-unconditional?
- Can reflexivity be characterized somehow? (in the spirit of James theorem)
- What are these bases good for?
- ...

Questions

- What properties of an unconditional basis work for sub-unconditional?
- Does every sub-unconditional basis have an unconditional subsequence (resp. blocks)?
- Is every block of a sub-unconditional basis, also sub-unconditional?
- Can reflexivity be characterized somehow? (in the spirit of James theorem)
- What are these bases good for?
- ...

Questions

- What properties of an unconditional basis work for sub-unconditional?
- Does every sub-unconditional basis have an unconditional subsequence (resp. blocks)?
- Is every block of a sub-unconditional basis, also sub-unconditional?
- Can reflexivity be characterized somehow? (in the spirit of James theorem)
- What are these bases good for?
- ...

Example

The summing basis (s_n) in c_0 does not have any sub-unconditional subsequence

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m a_n s_n \right\| = \sup_{1 \leq n \leq m} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \right|.$$

In particular,

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \theta_n a_n s_n \right\| \right) = \int_0^1 \sup_{1 \leq n \leq m} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j r_j(t) \right| dt \approx \left(\sum_{j=1}^m a_j^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

(by Levy's and Khintchine's inequalities).

Example

The summing basis (s_n) in c_0 does not have any sub-unconditional subsequence

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m a_n s_n \right\| = \sup_{1 \leq n \leq m} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \right|.$$

In particular,

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \theta_n a_n s_n \right\| \right) = \int_0^1 \sup_{1 \leq n \leq m} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j r_j(t) \right| dt \approx \left(\sum_{j=1}^m a_j^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

(by Levy's and Khintchine's inequalities).

Example

The summing basis (s_n) in c_0 does not have any sub-unconditional subsequence

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m a_n s_n \right\| = \sup_{1 \leq n \leq m} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \right|.$$

In particular,

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \theta_n a_n s_n \right\| \right) = \int_0^1 \sup_{1 \leq n \leq m} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j r_j(t) \right| dt \approx \left(\sum_{j=1}^m a_j^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

(by Levy's and Khintchine's inequalities).

Example

The unit basis (u_n) of James space is sub-unconditional

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n u_n \right\|_J = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{k=1}^m (a_{p_k} - a_{p_{k+1}})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} : p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_{m+1} \right\}.$$

It holds that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m a_i u_i \right\|_J \leq \sqrt{2} \mathbb{E} \left(\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i a_i u_i \right\|_J \right).$$

Example

The unit basis (u_n) of James space is sub-unconditional

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n u_n \right\|_J = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{k=1}^m (a_{p_k} - a_{p_{k+1}})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} : p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_{m+1} \right\}.$$

It holds that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m a_i u_i \right\|_J \leq \sqrt{2} \mathbb{E} \left(\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i a_i u_i \right\|_J \right).$$

Example

Every weakly null sequence (x_n) in $L^1[0, 1]$ has a sub-unconditional subsequence.

Proof: [later]

Recall, $L^1[0, 1]$ has no unconditional basis. Actually, $L^1[0, 1]$ does not embed in a space with unconditional basis [Pelczynski (1961)].

Example

Every weakly null sequence (x_n) in $L^1[0, 1]$ has a sub-unconditional subsequence.

Proof: [later]

Recall, $L^1[0, 1]$ has no unconditional basis. Actually, $L^1[0, 1]$ does not embed in a space with unconditional basis [Pelczynski (1961)].

Definition

A basis $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is **super-unconditional** if there is $K \geq 1$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \theta_n a_n x_n \right\| \right) \leq K \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m a_n x_n \right\|.$$

These bases carry also a different name: Random unconditionally convergent [Billard-Kwapień-Pelczyński-Samuel, Texas Funct. Anal. Seminar 1985]

- (x_n) unconditional $\Leftrightarrow (x_n)$ sub- and super-unconditional.
- (x_n) super-unconditional with $K = 1 \Rightarrow (x_n)$ unconditional.
- The summing basis of c_0 is not super-unconditional.

Definition

A basis $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is **super-unconditional** if there is $K \geq 1$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \theta_n a_n x_n \right\| \right) \leq K \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m a_n x_n \right\|.$$

These bases carry also a different name: Random unconditionally convergent [Billard-Kwapień-Pelczyński-Samuel, Texas Funct. Anal. Seminar 1985]

- (x_n) unconditional $\Leftrightarrow (x_n)$ sub- and super-unconditional.
- (x_n) super-unconditional with $K = 1 \Rightarrow (x_n)$ unconditional.
- The summing basis of c_0 is not super-unconditional.

Definition

A basis $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is **super-unconditional** if there is $K \geq 1$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \theta_n a_n x_n \right\| \right) \leq K \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m a_n x_n \right\|.$$

These bases carry also a different name: Random unconditionally convergent [Billard-Kwapień-Pelczyński-Samuel, Texas Funct. Anal. Seminar 1985]

- (x_n) unconditional $\Leftrightarrow (x_n)$ sub- and super-unconditional.
- (x_n) super-unconditional with $K = 1 \Rightarrow (x_n)$ unconditional.
- The summing basis of c_0 is not super-unconditional.

Definition

A basis $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is **super-unconditional** if there is $K \geq 1$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \theta_n a_n x_n \right\| \right) \leq K \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m a_n x_n \right\|.$$

These bases carry also a different name: Random unconditionally convergent [Billard-Kwapień-Pelczyński-Samuel, Texas Funct. Anal. Seminar 1985]

- (x_n) unconditional $\Leftrightarrow (x_n)$ sub- and super-unconditional.
- (x_n) super-unconditional with $K = 1 \Rightarrow (x_n)$ unconditional.
- The summing basis of c_0 is not super-unconditional.

Definition

A basis $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is **super-unconditional** if there is $K \geq 1$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \theta_n a_n x_n \right\| \right) \leq K \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m a_n x_n \right\|.$$

These bases carry also a different name: Random unconditionally convergent [Billard-Kwapień-Pelczyński-Samuel, Texas Funct. Anal. Seminar 1985]

- (x_n) unconditional $\Leftrightarrow (x_n)$ sub- and super-unconditional.
- (x_n) super-unconditional with $K = 1 \Rightarrow (x_n)$ unconditional.
- The summing basis of c_0 is not super-unconditional.

Proposition

Let (x_n) be a basis, and (x_n^*) its bi-orthogonal functionals.

- (x_n) super-unconditional $\Rightarrow (x_n^*)$ sub-unconditional.
- (x_n^*) super-unconditional $\Rightarrow (x_n)$ sub-unconditional.

However, if we take (s_n) , the summing basis of c_0

$$s_n = (\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{(n)}, 0, \dots),$$

this is not super-unconditional, although

$$s_n^* = (\overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{(n-1)}, 1 - 1, 0, \dots)$$

form a sub-unconditional sequence in ℓ_1 .

Proposition

Let (x_n) be a basis, and (x_n^*) its bi-orthogonal functionals.

- (x_n) super-unconditional $\Rightarrow (x_n^*)$ sub-unconditional.
- (x_n^*) super-unconditional $\Rightarrow (x_n)$ sub-unconditional.

However, if we take (s_n) , the summing basis of c_0

$$s_n = (\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{(n)}, 0, \dots),$$

this is not super-unconditional, although

$$s_n^* = (\overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{(n-1)}, 1 - 1, 0, \dots)$$

form a sub-unconditional sequence in ℓ_1 .

Recall James theorem: A Banach space X with unconditional basis which does not contain ℓ_1 nor c_0 subspaces, is reflexive.

Theorem

- 1 Let (x_n) be a basis of a Banach space X such that every block is sub-unconditional. (x_n) is shrinking $\Leftrightarrow \ell_1 \not\subset X$
- 2 Let (x_n) be a basis of a Banach space X such that every block is super-unconditional. (x_n) is boundedly complete $\Leftrightarrow c_0 \not\subset X$.

Does every weakly null sequence have a sub-unconditional sub-sequence?

NO [e.g. Maurey-Rosenthal space (Studia 1977).]

Is every block sequence of a sub-unconditional sequence also sub-unconditional?

NO [A modification of M-R.]

Given a sub-unconditional sequence, does it have an unconditional subsequence?

NO [e.g. a weakly null sequence in $L_1[0, 1]$ without unconditional subsequences (Johnson-Maurey-Schechtman, JAMS 2007)]

Does every weakly null sequence have a sub-unconditional sub-sequence?

NO [e.g. Maurey-Rosenthal space (Studia 1977).]

Is every block sequence of a sub-unconditional sequence also sub-unconditional?

NO [A modification of M-R.]

Given a sub-unconditional sequence, does it have an unconditional subsequence?

NO [e.g. a weakly null sequence in $L_1[0, 1]$ without unconditional subsequences (Johnson-Maurey-Schechtman, JAMS 2007)]

Does every weakly null sequence have a sub-unconditional sub-sequence?

NO [e.g. Maurey-Rosenthal space (Studia 1977).]

Is every block sequence of a sub-unconditional sequence also sub-unconditional?

NO [A modification of M-R.]

Given a sub-unconditional sequence, does it have an unconditional subsequence?

NO [e.g. a weakly null sequence in $L_1[0, 1]$ without unconditional subsequences (Johnson-Maurey-Schechtman, JAMS 2007)]

Does every weakly null sequence have a sub-unconditional sub-sequence?

NO [e.g. Maurey-Rosenthal space (Studia 1977).]

Is every block sequence of a sub-unconditional sequence also sub-unconditional?

NO [A modification of M-R.]

Given a sub-unconditional sequence, does it have an unconditional subsequence?

NO [e.g. a weakly null sequence in $L_1[0, 1]$ without unconditional subsequences (Johnson-Maurey-Schechtman, JAMS 2007)]

Does every weakly null sequence have a sub-unconditional sub-sequence?

NO [e.g. Maurey-Rosenthal space (Studia 1977).]

Is every block sequence of a sub-unconditional sequence also sub-unconditional?

NO [A modification of M-R.]

Given a sub-unconditional sequence, does it have an unconditional subsequence?

NO [e.g. a weakly null sequence in $L_1[0, 1]$ without unconditional subsequences (Johnson-Maurey-Schechtman, JAMS 2007)]

Does every weakly null sequence have a sub-unconditional sub-sequence?

NO [e.g. Maurey-Rosenthal space (Studia 1977).]

Is every block sequence of a sub-unconditional sequence also sub-unconditional?

NO [A modification of M-R.]

Given a sub-unconditional sequence, does it have an unconditional subsequence?

NO [e.g. a weakly null sequence in $L_1[0, 1]$ without unconditional subsequences (Johnson-Maurey-Schechtman, JAMS 2007)]

Theorem

X separable r.i. space on $[0, 1]$ with finite upper Boyd index. Every weakly null sequence (x_n) in X has a sub-unconditional subsequence.

Proof:

(h_j) Haar basis on $[0, 1]$: i.e. for $j = 2^k + l$, with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq l \leq 2^k$,

$$h_j = \chi_{\left[\frac{2l-2}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{2l-1}{2^{k+1}}\right)} - \chi_{\left[\frac{2l-1}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{2l}{2^{k+1}}\right)}.$$

wlog $\exists p_k \leq q_k < p_{k+1}$

$$x_{n_k} = \sum_{j=p_k}^{q_k} b_j h_j$$

Given $(a_k)_{k=1}^m$, we can define the following martingale

$$f_n = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k x_{n_k} & n < m \\ \sum_{k=1}^m a_k x_{n_k} & n \geq m. \end{cases}$$

Theorem

X separable r.i. space on $[0, 1]$ with finite upper Boyd index. Every weakly null sequence (x_n) in X has a sub-unconditional subsequence.

Proof:

(h_j) Haar basis on $[0, 1]$: i.e. for $j = 2^k + l$, with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq l \leq 2^k$,

$$h_j = \chi_{[\frac{2l-2}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{2l-1}{2^{k+1}})} - \chi_{[\frac{2l-1}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{2l}{2^{k+1}})}.$$

wlog $\exists p_k \leq q_k < p_{k+1}$

$$x_{n_k} = \sum_{j=p_k}^{q_k} b_j h_j$$

Given $(a_k)_{k=1}^m$, we can define the following martingale

$$f_n = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k x_{n_k} & n < m \\ \sum_{k=1}^m a_k x_{n_k} & n \geq m. \end{cases}$$

Theorem

X separable r.i. space on $[0, 1]$ with finite upper Boyd index. Every weakly null sequence (x_n) in X has a sub-unconditional subsequence.

Proof:

(h_j) Haar basis on $[0, 1]$: i.e. for $j = 2^k + l$, with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq l \leq 2^k$,

$$h_j = \chi_{[\frac{2l-2}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{2l-1}{2^{k+1}})} - \chi_{[\frac{2l-1}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{2l}{2^{k+1}})}.$$

wlog $\exists p_k \leq q_k < p_{k+1}$

$$x_{n_k} = \sum_{j=p_k}^{q_k} b_j h_j$$

Given $(a_k)_{k=1}^m$, we can define the following martingale

$$f_n = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k x_{n_k} & n < m \\ \sum_{k=1}^m a_k x_{n_k} & n \geq m. \end{cases}$$

Theorem

X separable r.i. space on $[0, 1]$ with finite upper Boyd index. Every weakly null sequence (x_n) in X has a sub-unconditional subsequence.

Proof:

(h_j) Haar basis on $[0, 1]$: i.e. for $j = 2^k + l$, with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq l \leq 2^k$,

$$h_j = \chi_{[\frac{2l-2}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{2l-1}{2^{k+1}})} - \chi_{[\frac{2l-1}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{2l}{2^{k+1}})}.$$

wlog $\exists p_k \leq q_k < p_{k+1}$

$$x_{n_k} = \sum_{j=p_k}^{q_k} b_j h_j$$

Given $(a_k)_{k=1}^m$, we can define the following martingale

$$f_n = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k x_{n_k} & n < m \\ \sum_{k=1}^m a_k x_{n_k} & n \geq m. \end{cases}$$

Using Johnson-Schechtman's inequality for Martingale differences

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k x_{n_k} \right\| \leq \left\| \sup_n |f_n| \right\| \lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_k - f_{k-1}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| = \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^m |a_k x_{n_k}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|.$$

Now, by Maurey-Khintchine (X has finite cotype), it holds that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^m |a_k x_{n_k}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \lesssim \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m r_k(s) a_k x_{n_k} \right\| ds.$$

Thus,

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k x_{n_k} \right\| \lesssim \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m r_k(s) a_k x_{n_k} \right\| ds = \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left(\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m \theta_k a_k x_{n_k} \right\| \right).$$

Using Johnson-Schechtman's inequality for Martingale differences

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k x_{n_k} \right\| \leq \left\| \sup_n |f_n| \right\| \lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_k - f_{k-1}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| = \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^m |a_k x_{n_k}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|.$$

Now, by Maurey-Khintchine (X has finite cotype), it holds that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^m |a_k x_{n_k}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \lesssim \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m r_k(s) a_k x_{n_k} \right\| ds.$$

Thus,

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k x_{n_k} \right\| \lesssim \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m r_k(s) a_k x_{n_k} \right\| ds = \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left(\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m \theta_k a_k x_{n_k} \right\| \right).$$

Using Johnson-Schechtman's inequality for Martingale differences

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k x_{n_k} \right\| \leq \left\| \sup_n |f_n| \right\| \lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_k - f_{k-1}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| = \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^m |a_k x_{n_k}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|.$$

Now, by Maurey-Khintchine (X has finite cotype), it holds that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^m |a_k x_{n_k}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \lesssim \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m r_k(s) a_k x_{n_k} \right\| ds.$$

Thus,

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k x_{n_k} \right\| \lesssim \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m r_k(s) a_k x_{n_k} \right\| ds = \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left(\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m \theta_k a_k x_{n_k} \right\| \right).$$

Thank you for your attention.