

Gauss's theorem on sums of 3 squares, via groupschemes.

I think that the topic of this talk is suitable: Laurent likes number theory, groupschemes, cohomology.

Let us first state Gauss's result.

Notation: for $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ not a square, $d \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$, let $\mathcal{O}_d = \mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{\sqrt{d} + d}{2} \right]$,
 the quadratic order of discriminant d .

he's proud of it, mentions it in the introduction of Disquisitiones

Thm (Gauss) Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$, then: $\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 4, 7 \pmod{8} \\ 48 \cdot \frac{\# \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_{-n})}{\#(\mathcal{O}_{-n}^\times)} & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \\ 24 \cdot \frac{\# \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_{-4n})}{\#(\mathcal{O}_{-4n}^\times)} & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$

$$\#\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = n \} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 4, 7 \pmod{8} \\ 48 \cdot \frac{\# \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_{-n})}{\#(\mathcal{O}_{-n}^\times)} & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \\ 24 \cdot \frac{\# \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_{-4n})}{\#(\mathcal{O}_{-4n}^\times)} & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} I \text{ inv. } \mathcal{O} \text{-module} \\ \xrightarrow{x \mapsto \frac{x \bar{x}}{N(\mathcal{O})}} \#(I/\mathcal{O} \cdot x) \end{array} \right)$$

Rem. Gauss in terms of quadratic forms in 2 vars.

Reference article 291 (p. 336 - 339) of english Springer edition of Disquisitiones. There, the result comes after ≥ 200 pages of a detailed study of quadratic forms in 2 and 3 variables, not easy to read (I didn't) (Andrew Granville is working on an ~~useless~~ interpretation in modern terminology.)

Gauss's method, I think, sketched in a few lines (thanks Hendrik Lenstra).

For $P \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ with $\|P\|^2 = n$, $(P^\perp, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{P^\perp}, \text{orientation})$ is a pos. def. oriented symm. bil. form / \mathbb{Z} , rank 2, discr. n , primitive. Find out which ones can occur, and how often (the (M, b) s.t. $(M, b) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}, n)$ admits an "overlattice" of index n ; exactly one "genus", use a "Goursat lemma").

I find the result much nicer than the proof suggests.

Goal: to give a more direct proof, using symmetry, not quadro. forms:

the action of SO_3 . This is work in progress, I do explain the # of solutions given the existence of one.

2.

uses same idea, but adelic methods,
not group schemes / 2.

Rem. See also Shimura, Bull. AMS. 43, July 2006. He doesn't give a full proof, see p. 291, lines 7-8: "The proof is not so short, but conceptually straightforward, and at least not as painful as that of Gauss".

Rem. An alternative way is to use modular forms of weight $3/2$ on $\Gamma_1(4)$:

$$\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2}\right)^3 = \sum_{n \geq 0} r_3(n) \cdot q^n. \quad (\text{hence } n \equiv 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 \pmod{8})$$

Assumptions. $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$, $P \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ a primitive sol'n of $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = n$.

Def. $X := V(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - n) \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Z}^3 \text{ w.r.t. } \mathcal{O}_X)$.

$$S := \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$$

We want: $\# X(\mathbb{Z})^{\text{prim}}$, note: $P \in X(\mathbb{Z})^{\text{prim}}$

Let $G := SO_3$, grp. sch. / \mathbb{Z} , equations in GL_3 : $g^t \cdot g = 1$, $\det(g) = 1$. Then

Let $H := G_P$, stab. of P in G : $\forall \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A : H(A) = \{g \in G(A) : g \cdot P = P \text{ in } A\}$

closed subgroup scheme of G . Note: $H(\mathbb{R}) = \text{rotations with axis } R.P.$

We have: $H \rightarrow G \rightarrow X$. for some topology

$$g \mapsto g \cdot P \quad H(S) \rightarrow G(S) \rightarrow X(S) \rightarrow H^1(S, H) \rightarrow H^1(S, G)$$

$$\text{Pic}(..) \downarrow \begin{cases} \text{I} \\ \text{II} \end{cases}$$

We would like to say: $X = G/H$ and: exact seq. of pointed sets.

But there are problems: / \mathbb{F}_2 , if $P_{\mathbb{F}_2} = (1, 1, 1)$ then $H_{\mathbb{F}_2} = G_{\mathbb{F}_2}$,

/ \mathbb{F}_p , $p \mid n$: remove the origin.

what topology to use?

Our solution: consider the sheaves on $S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ + Zariski topology

(small site) induced by X, G, H , and denote them by the same symbols, and prove directly that we have the sequence.

Def. $\forall Q \in X(S)^{\text{prim}}$ let $G_{P, Q} \rightarrow G : \forall \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A$, $G_{P, Q}(A) = \{g \in G(A) : g \cdot P = Q\}$

Prop. $\forall Q \in X(S)^{\text{prim}}$, $G_{P, Q}$ is a ^{right} H -torsor on S_{Zar} , that is,

$\forall U \subset S$ open, $G_{P, Q}(U) \cap H(U)$ is free and transitive, and $\forall p$ prime,

$\exists U \ni \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p$ s.t. $G_{P, Q}(U) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof.: Elementary, use symmetries s_v , $\stackrel{v \in Q^3}{\text{pair of}}$, $s_v: Q^3 \rightarrow Q^3$, $x \mapsto x - \frac{2(x,v)}{v,v} \cdot v$, and control denominators.

Example: for $v \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ primitive, $v \nmid \langle v, v \rangle$, hence $s_v: \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}^3$. \square
 (if $Q \neq P$, take $v = Q-P$, then $s_v: P \rightarrow Q$. This works in Q^3 . To make it work at p , first choose a suitable w s.t. $\langle s_w P - Q, s_w P - Q \rangle \neq 0$ in \mathbb{F}_p .)
 (to prove existence of such a w took me about 1 page). \square (this implies that)

So we have $c: X(S)^{\text{prim}} \rightarrow H^1(S, H)$

$$G(\mathbb{Z}_2) = G(Q)$$

$$G(\mathbb{Z}) = G(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{L}^{(2)})$$

Lemma: $c(Q_1) = c(Q_2) \Leftrightarrow \exists g \in G(S)$ s.t. $gQ_1 = Q_2$.

Proof \Leftarrow : $g: G_{P, Q_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{P, Q_2}$

\Rightarrow : Let $\varphi: G_{P, Q_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{P, Q_2}$ isom. of ~~locally~~ H -torsors on S_{zar} .

For $t \in G_{P, Q_1}(U)$, $(\varphi t) \cdot \epsilon^{-1} \in G_{Q_1, Q_2}(U)$, and indep. of t : $\epsilon' = th$,

$(\varphi t') \cdot (\epsilon')^{-1} = (\varphi t) \cdot h \cdot h^{-1} \cdot \epsilon'$. Hence an elmt. in $G_{Q_1, Q_2}(S)$. \square

Lemma: Let Z be a right H -torsor. Then $[Z] \in \text{im}(c) \Leftrightarrow Z \otimes_H G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (Z \times G)/H$

Proof. \Leftarrow : Let $s \in (Z \otimes_H G)(S)$.

Locally, $s \in (z, g) \in (Z \times G)(U)$, unique up to

$\text{is trivial as } G\text{-tors.}$

$$(z, g) \cdot h = (zh, h^{-1}g).$$

$(z', g') = (zh, h^{-1}g)$, $h \in H(U)$. Gives $\stackrel{Q:=}{\sim} \bar{g}'P \in X(U)$, indep. of g , hence $Q \in X(S)$. Then $Z \rightarrow G_{P, Q}$, $z \mapsto \bar{g}' \cdot \square$ (we do not use \Rightarrow).

Lemma: $H^1(S, G) = \emptyset$.

Proof: Note: $G = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^3, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \text{id})$, $\text{id}: \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda^3 \mathbb{Z}^3$.

$H^1(S, G)$ is the set of twists of $(\mathbb{Z}^3, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \text{id})$ on S_{zar} .

Let (M, b_m, d_m) be a twist. Then $(M_Q, b_{mQ}) \cong (Q^3, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ hence b_m pos. def.

Note: $M \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$. Minkowski: $d_1 > 1 \Rightarrow d_1 > \sqrt{2} \Rightarrow \frac{4}{3}\pi \left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\right)^3 \leq 1 \Rightarrow$

$\text{discr}(b_m) = 1$, $\text{Vol}(M_R/M) = 1$.

Hence $\exists m \in M$, $b(m, m) = 1$, $M = \mathbb{Z} \cdot m \oplus m^\perp$, etc. \square

We have: $G(\mathbb{S}) \setminus X(S)^{\text{prim}} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(S, H)$

Last step: determine H . (but that will be enough)

I only know it over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]$.

Lemma: H does not depend on P : $\forall Q \in X(S)^{\text{prim}}$, $G_Q = H$. (can. isom. of gr. sch.)
not with emb. in G

Prob.: Locally $Q = gP$, $g \in G(U)$.

Then $H = G_P \xrightarrow{\sim} G_Q$, $h \mapsto gh\bar{g}'$, the isom. is indep. of $g' = gh'$ because
 H is commutative. \square

Rem.: Can even determine H by descent.

Def.: Let $T := \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}, \mathbb{F}_n] / \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]} G_m$: $T(A) = (A[u]/(u^2+n))^{\times}$
 $= \{a_1 + a_2 u : a_1^2 + na_2^2 \in A^{\times}\}$,

$T = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}](x, y)/(x^2 + ny^2))$. $G_m \rightarrow T$: $a \mapsto a + 0 \cdot u$

$N: T \rightarrow G_m$ $a_1 + a_2 u \mapsto a_1^2 + na_2^2$.

Prop.: We have $G_m \rightarrow T \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]}$ exact sequence of gr. sch. ($\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]$),
for the Zariski topology (big site).

Proof: Over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2n}, \sqrt{n}]$: $P' = (\sqrt{n}, 0, 0)$, $G_{P'} = (SO_2)_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2n}, \sqrt{n}]}$,
1-dim. torus with char. grp. $\mathbb{Z} + \text{quadr. char. } (\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q})$.

Descent to $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2n}]$: $\overline{P'} = (-\sqrt{n}, 0, 0) \rightsquigarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2n}]} = (T_1)_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2n}]} := \ker(N: -)$.

Main steps of the next: étale hc: $x^2 + ny^2$ (it is primitive)

• $\text{Aut}(P^\perp)_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]} = T_1$, $T_1^\circ \hookrightarrow T_1 \rightarrow \Phi = \bigoplus_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{Z}/n \\ 2 \nmid i}} \mathbb{F}_2 : (a_1^2 + na_2^2 = 1)$

• $H_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]} \rightarrow T_1$ via action on P^\perp ,

\mathbb{F}_2

gives $H_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]} \xrightarrow{\sim} T_1^\circ$.

• $T \rightarrow T^\circ$, $a_1 + a_2 u \mapsto \frac{a_1 + a_2 u}{a_1 - a_2 u}$, kernel G_m . \square

Consequence: $\frac{= \text{for both numerator}}{\text{and denominator.}}$

$$G(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus X(\mathbb{Z})^{\text{prim}} \xrightarrow{\quad} G(\mathbb{Z}^{(1)}) \setminus X(\mathbb{Z}^{(1)})^{\text{prim}} \xrightarrow{\quad} H^1(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}^{(1)}, H) = \text{Pic}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}, \sqrt{-n}])$$

and $H^2(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}^{(1)}, G) = 0$. indeed: $H^1(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}^{(1)}, G) = 919$.
 b.c. of dimension 1 (each elmt. extends over \mathbb{Z} , and so is trivial)
 and Zariski topology.

cover \mathbb{Z} with $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{(1)} \times \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$, "int." \mathbb{Q} .

$$\begin{matrix} M_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xleftarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{Q}^3 & \supset \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}^3 \\ \text{by} & & \hookrightarrow & \text{take } M := M_{\mathbb{Z}^{(1)} \cap \mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}^3 \\ & & & \text{to extend } M_{\mathbb{Z}^{(1)}}. \end{matrix}$$

We have proved:

$$\text{if } X(\mathbb{Z})^{\text{prim}} \neq \emptyset \text{ then}$$

$$\# X(\mathbb{Z})^{\text{prim}} = \frac{\# G(\mathbb{Z}^{(1)})}{\# H(\mathbb{Z}^{(1)})} \cdot \# \text{Pic}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}, \sqrt{-n}])$$

$$= \frac{24}{\# \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}, \sqrt{-n}]^{\text{tors}}} \cdot \# \text{Pic}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}, \sqrt{-n}])$$

$$\# \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}, \sqrt{-n}]^{\text{tors}}$$

$$= \left\{ t = a_1 + a_2 \sqrt{-n} \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}, \sqrt{-n}]^\times : \text{torsion, } \forall p \mid n; a_i \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \right\}$$

$$= 919 \text{ if } n > 3$$

About existence: can follow Gauss, or: Hasse principle $\Rightarrow X(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{tors}} \neq \emptyset$, then a very funny argument (Cassels-Fröhlich exercise 4.11 p. 359) take in \mathbb{Z}^3 a point closest to our \mathbb{Q} -point in X , then chord method leads to a \mathbb{Z} -point on the sphere.
 (maybe only known to be primitive if n square-free).

Or also: (after Weil, in an article for Siegel) if one "knows" the formula then one can prove it by relating it to Θ^4 : sum of 4 squares.

