

May 7, 2013. III - seminar. Bas Edixhoven.

Algebraic de Rham cohomology. 2x 45 minutes.

- 2 reasons for this talk: 1. lack of HS, VHS in the "Shafarevich seminar" (that seminar is about families of alg. var's being constant or not, and so it is good to think of what constant means for the cohomology + HS, i.e., VHS).
- 2. Upcoming lectures of Banghar Bhatt on companion crystalline - de Rham.

References: Grothendieck's letter to Atiyah, 1966
 Voisin's book "Hodge theory & complex alg. geom I" (analytic theory).
 The first hits when googling "algebr. de Rham cohom".
 Deligne's Théorèmes de Lefschetz et critères de dégén.
 Théorie de Hodge I, II, III.
 EGA III for hypercohomology.
 Katz & Oda, On the differentiation of de Rham coh. (1968)
 André & Baldassarri: de Rham cohom. of diff. modules.
 Deligne: Equations différentielles à points ~~et~~ singularités régulières.
 Deligne-Illusie: Relèvement mod. p^2 et décomp. du complexe
 (or Oesterlé's Bourbaki lecture on this).
 General books on homological algebra: Beilinson-Manin, Methods of

Let's start.

Let X be a C^∞ -manifold, real. $\forall U \subset X : \mathcal{O}(U) = \{f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is } C^\infty\}$.

We have $H_{\text{sing}}^i(X; \mathbb{Z})$, $H_{\text{sing}}^i(X; \mathbb{R})$, but also $H^i(X, \mathbb{Z}_X)$, $H_{\text{sing}}^i(X; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(X, \mathbb{Z}_X)$
 functional comparison isomorphism.

$H^i(X, \mathbb{R}_X) \leftarrow \mathbb{R} \otimes H^i(X, \mathbb{Z}_X)$ is an isomorphism if X has a finite good cover.
 (consider $X = N + \text{discr. top.}$) (Poincaré lemma)

Also $H_{\text{sing}}^i(X; \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(X, \mathbb{R}_X)$. But now we also have the de Rham complex: $(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{R}}^i, d)$, it is a resolution of $\mathbb{R}_X = \ker(\Omega_X^0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1)$, or soft.
 If X is Hausdorff and paracompact then the sheaves Ω_X^i are fine, hence
 $\forall i > 0, H^i(X, \Omega^i) = 0$ and (Ω_X^i, d) is $\mathbb{R}(X)$ -acyclic resolution of \mathbb{R}_X ,
 hence $H^i(X, \mathbb{R}_X) = H^i(\Omega_X^*(X), d)$ (de Rham comparison thm).
 This is compatible with: $=: H_{\text{dR}}^i(X)$

$$H_{\text{sing}}^i(X; \mathbb{R}) \times H_{\text{dR}}^i(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \left(\gamma: \Delta_k \rightarrow X, \omega \right) \mapsto \int_{\Delta_k} \gamma^* \omega.$$

Nice: $(\Omega^*(X), d)$ gives us $H_{\text{sing}}^i(X; \mathbb{R})$. smooth $\Omega^k(X)$

But $(\Omega^\bullet(X), d)$ is still horribly big!

Let now X be a ~~smooth~~ nonsingular complex algebraic variety.
(i.e., a \mathbb{C} -scheme that is smooth, ~~and~~ finite type and separated).

Then we have Kähler diff. $d: \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Omega^1_X$.

This can be extended: ~~for~~ $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$: $\Omega^i_X := \wedge^i \Omega^1_X$,

$$d: \Omega^i_X \rightarrow \Omega^{i+1}_X : d(w \cdot \eta) = (dw) \cdot \eta + (-1)^i w \cdot (d\eta) \quad (w \in \Omega^i_X(u, -))$$

$\Omega^\bullet_X := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega^i_X$, graded comm. algebra over $\Omega^0_X = \mathcal{O}_X$
with differential d . We have $d^2 = 0$.

We would like to do the same as before: $(\Omega^\bullet(X), d)$, cohom.,

- but there are 3 problems:
1. (Ω^\bullet, d) is not a resolution at any $x \in X$ s.t. $\dim_x(x) > 0$
 2. we are working here with the Zariski topology, so $H^*(X, \mathbb{C}_X)$ is not what we want
 3. the sheaves Ω^i_X are not ^{nec.} acyclic for $\Gamma(X, -)$
(but they are if X is affine).

Nevertheless there is this fantastic theorem by Grothendieck.

Thm. Let X be an affine non-singular complex algebraic variety.

Then $H^*(X, \mathbb{C}_X) = H^*(\Omega^\bullet(X), d) =: H_{dR}(X)$ (algebraic de Rham cohom)

(this means: functorial isom., here are 2 of them, and they are compatible:

1. on X^{an} , $(\Omega^\bullet_{X^{an}}, d)$ is a resolution of $\mathbb{C}_{X^{an}}$, and the $\Omega^i_{X^{an}}$ are $\Gamma(X^{an}, \text{any})$
hence $H^*(X^{an}, \mathbb{C}_{X^{an}}) = H^*(\Omega^\bullet(X^{an}), d) \leftarrow H^*(\Omega^\bullet(X), d)$.
2. $H^*_{sing}(X^{an}, \mathbb{C}) \times H_{dR}(X)$, $(j: \Delta_k \rightarrow X^{an}, \omega) \mapsto \int_{\Delta_k} j^* \omega$.

The proof uses: definition of algebr. de Rham cohom. for general X
compactification, resolution of singularities, GAGA.

So, of our 3 problems, only 2 is serious. And there is a standard way of dealing with this in homological algebra: extend derived functors from objects to complexes, that is, "derived categories", of which the objects are complexes. The philosophy is: if (A, d) is a complex in an ab. cat. \mathcal{A} , ~~for~~ $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a left exact functor, then take $A' \rightarrow B'$ a

Thm. (Hodge decomp.) let X/\mathbb{C} projective, smooth.

~~Then~~ We have, $Fil^p H_{dR}^n(X) =: Fil^p H^n(X^{an}, \mathbb{C})$,

let $H^{p,q}(X^{an}) := Fil^p H^n(X^{an}, \mathbb{C}) \cap \overline{Fil^{n-p} H^n(X^{an}, \mathbb{C})} \subset H^n(X^{an}, \mathbb{C})$

then $H^n(X^{an}, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H^{p,q}(X^{an})$, and $H^{p,q}(X^{an}) = H^q(X, \Omega^p)$.

The proof of this is difficult (no algebraic proof is known) ^{degeneration at E_1^*} of Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence
 But the ~~statement~~ ^{following consequence} is ~~equivalent~~ ^{algebraic} to ~~that~~ ^{statements} and has an algebraic proof.

$\forall p \in \mathbb{Z}$; we have $0 \rightarrow \Omega^{>p} \rightarrow \Omega^0 \rightarrow \Omega^p[-p] \rightarrow 0$

and all sequences

$0 \rightarrow H^i(X, \Omega^{>p}) \rightarrow H^i(X, \Omega^{>p+1}) \rightarrow H^{i-p}(X, \Omega^p) \rightarrow 0$

are exact.

Example/exercise. Let X be a projective nonsing. connected curve.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 X = U_0 \cup U_1 & \begin{matrix} f_0 - f_1 \\ \uparrow \\ (f_0, f_1) \end{matrix} & \mathcal{O}(U_{0,1}) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^1(U_{0,1}) & \begin{matrix} -\omega_0 + \omega_1 \\ \uparrow \\ (\omega_0, \omega_1) \end{matrix} \\
 & \uparrow & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \uparrow \\
 & (f_0, f_1) & \mathcal{O}(U_0) \oplus \mathcal{O}(U_1) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^1(U_0) \oplus \Omega^1(U_1) & (\omega_0, \omega_1)
 \end{array}$$

Filter the double complex by columns; gives ~~filtration~~ a s.e.s. of total complexes:

$(0 \rightarrow \Omega^1(U_0) \oplus \Omega^1(U_1) \rightarrow \Omega^1(U_{0,1})) \hookrightarrow Tot(C \cdot \mathcal{P}^i) \rightarrow \dots$
 $\text{or } (\mathcal{O}(U_0) \oplus \mathcal{O}(U_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U_{0,1})) \rightarrow 0$

hence a long ex. seq:

$0 \rightarrow H_{dR}^0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega^1(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{dR}^1(X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^1(X, \Omega^1) \rightarrow H_{dR}^1(X) \rightarrow 0$

Filter by rows: ...