

Zinnowitz, 2016/04/05, 1 hour

1.

Gauss composition and primitive integral points on spheres.
(details: Albert Gunawan's thesis, openaccess.leidenuniv.nl)

Let $n \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, $n > 3$. $X_n(\mathbb{Z}) := \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = n\}$
 $\text{gcd}(x, y, z) = 1$.

$$\mathcal{O}_n := \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-n}}{2}\right].$$

Thm (Gauss) $\# X_n(\mathbb{Z}) = 24 \cdot \# \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_n)$.

My interest: $\left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{m^2}\right)^d$, modular forms, weight $d/2$.

Zagier: proof using modular forms (1975), not so easy, leads to mock mod. f.

$$v := P \cap nQ \quad Q \longmapsto 1 \quad n^2 \langle Q, Q \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + n,$$

Gauss's proof. For $P \in X_n(\mathbb{Z})$: $P^\perp \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3 \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} \mathbb{Z}$ $b := \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{P^\perp \times P^\perp}$,
 $P^\perp \oplus \mathbb{Z}P \rightarrow n\mathbb{Z}$ discr. n , represents
 $-n \pmod{n^2}$.

Then work backwards: count

such b up to isom., and use: L free \mathbb{Z} -module rank 3,

b' pos. def. sym. bil. form discr. 1, $(L, b') \cong (\mathbb{Z}^3, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$.

The counting is a bit complicated: $24 \cdot 2^{t-1} \# \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_n) / 2^{t-1}$.

Goal: use SO_3 -action and Hasse-Minkowski to give a new proof, and
get more: ~~$SO_3(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash X_n(\mathbb{Z})$~~ is a $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ -torsor.

(Rem: earlier work by Shimura & Gross, adelically.)

$$X_n := V(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - n) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^3 - V(x, y, z).$$

$$G := SO_3, (g \cdot x) \mapsto (gx)$$

Over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$: $G \times X_n \rightarrow X_n \times X_n$ is surjective & smooth, action transitive

$$/\mathbb{F}_2: \mathbb{F}_2^3 = \mathbb{F}_2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}^\perp \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for etale top.}$$

so $G_{\mathbb{F}_2} \supset SL_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$, dim. 3. stab: 1-dim. conn.

Surprise: X_n, G on $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) + \text{tors}$, then $G \cdot G \cdot X_n$ transitive!
for $v \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ primitive

Use symmetries: $s_v: x \mapsto x - \frac{2\langle x, v \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle} \cdot v$, $4\langle v, v \rangle$.

$\forall p: G(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \subset X_n(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$.

Now we can use non-ab. cohomology.

2.

1st aim now: $\mathcal{X}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset$.

Haus-Minkowski: $\mathcal{X}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$.

Using $s, v \in \mathbb{Q}$: $\forall p \in \mathbb{P} \quad \mathcal{X}_n(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \neq \emptyset$.

$\forall U \subset \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$: category (groupoid) $G(U) \supset \mathcal{X}_n(U)$, $\forall x, y: \text{Aut}(x) = \text{Aut}(y)$.

\mathcal{H} := the stabilizer, sheaf of comm. grps on $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$. b.c. s.t. $G(U)_x$ comm.

\mathcal{X}_n



$\left[G \backslash \mathcal{X}_n \right]$ stack/ \mathcal{H} -gerbe: locally exactly 1 object up to isom.

$H^2(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{H}) = 0$, hence have $x \in [G \backslash \mathcal{X}_n](\mathbb{Z})$

$x = (T \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_n)$

\mathcal{G} -torsor over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ equiv. emb. $H^1(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{G}) = \mathbb{F}_1 : \mathcal{X}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset$.

$$g \mapsto g \cdot P$$

2nd aim $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ -action. Let $P \in \mathcal{X}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_n$ "fibration".

$$\begin{matrix} \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\quad g \mapsto g \cdot h \quad} \\ \mathcal{G} & \end{matrix}$$

That gives: $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{X}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H^1(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{G})$

$$\text{twist of } (\mathbb{Z}^3, \langle \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \text{or}) \quad Q \longleftrightarrow \left[\bigoplus_{\mathcal{G}P} \mathcal{G}_P \right]$$

$$\begin{matrix} T \otimes_{\mathcal{G}P} (\mathbb{Z}^3, \langle \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \text{or}) & \xleftarrow{\quad \text{T right } \mathcal{H}\text{-torsor} \quad} \\ \varphi \downarrow 2 & \varphi(P) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \\ (\mathbb{Z}^3, \langle \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \text{or}) & \end{matrix}$$

What is \mathcal{H} ? Recall $n=3$ (8), $\mathcal{O}_n = \mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1 + \sqrt{-n}}{2} \right]$. $T := \text{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_n/\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_m$

$$t \mapsto t/\sigma(t) \quad \sigma \text{ comp. conj. on } \mathcal{O}_n.$$

$$\mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow T \longrightarrow H \quad \text{surj. for Zar}$$

$$\begin{matrix} \mathbb{G}_m & \xleftarrow{N} & T & \xleftarrow{\quad} & T_1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & \oplus_{p|n} \mathbb{F}_p \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{H}).$$

Explicit action of $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_n})$

Let I be an invertible \mathcal{O}_n -module, $P \in \mathcal{X}_n(\mathbb{Z})$.

$\mathbb{Q} \otimes P^\perp$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$ -vect. space: $v \mapsto P \times v = \sqrt{-n} \cdot v$

$$\begin{aligned} I^* \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_n^*} \mathbb{Z}^3 &= \left(\mathbb{Z} \cdot P \oplus I \cdot \sigma(I)^{-1} \cdot P^\perp \right) \subset \mathbb{Q}^3 \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{N(t)}{N(I)} \cdot \frac{(t \cdot \sigma(t)^{-1})}{M(I)} \cdot \mathbb{Z}^3}_{\in SO_3(\mathbb{Q})_P} \end{aligned}$$

where $t \in I$ generates I/nI .

$[I] \cdot P = \varphi(P)$. \mathbb{Z}^3

The action of $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_n})$ on P^\perp 's: $\varphi(P)^\perp \cong I \cdot \sigma(I)^{-1} \cdot P^\perp$,

note: $[I \cdot \sigma(I)^{-1}] = [I^2]$. Forms that occur: 1 coset under $2 \cdot \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_n})$.

Another way to see it:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{ \pm 1 \} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bigoplus_{P \mid n} \mathbb{F}_2 \\ & & \downarrow \\ H^1(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})_{\text{ur}}, \mathbb{H}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})_{\text{et}}, T_1) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & H^1(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})_{\text{ur}}, \mathbb{H}) \end{array}$$

To put it a bit in perspective: Bhargava & Gross, Arithmetic invariant theory,
ask for such an approach...