

Néron models seminar, Fall 2017, Sept. 28.

Bas Edixhoven, The Hilbert functor and its representability.

1.

Reference: Ch. 5, by Nitin Nitsure, in Fundamental Algebraic Geometry,
Grothendieck's FGA explained, AMS Math. Surveys & Mon., 123.

With less detail: see § 8.2 of Bosch-Lütkebohmert-Raynaud.

§0. Motivation. Needed for repr. of Picard functor; must parametrise
divisors on a given X/S . Also useful for many other things, for example
 $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{Sch}/S}(X, Y)$.

I follow BLR here bcs. they got rid of the noetherianness.

§1. Def. For S any scheme, $X \rightarrow S$ any S -scheme, let

$\text{Hilb}_{X/S} : \text{Sch}/S \rightarrow \text{Set}$ be the contravariant functor
($T \rightarrow S$) $\mapsto \{ \text{closed subsch. } Z \text{ of } X_T \text{ with } Z \rightarrow T \text{ finitely presented,}$
proper and flat }.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T' \rightarrow T \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ S \end{array} \mapsto (Z \mapsto Z_{T'})$$

Def. Same situation, plus F an \mathcal{O}_X -module that is locally fin. presented.

Let $\text{Quot}_{F/X/S} : \text{Sch}/S \rightarrow \text{Set}$ be the contravariant functor

($T \rightarrow S$) $\mapsto \{ q : F_T \rightarrow G \text{ surjection of } \mathcal{O}_T\text{-modules, with } G \text{ loc. fin. pres., } \mathcal{O}_T\text{-flat, with support proper over } T \} / \text{isom.}$

Note: $\text{Hilb}_{X/S} = \text{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_X/X/S}$.

$$F_T \xrightarrow{q} G_1 \\ \downarrow \circ \quad \downarrow \\ G_2$$

Two very simple examples. $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}} = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$, $\text{Hilb}_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}/\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}} = \text{Spec } (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})$.

And 2 more: $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{Z}} = (\coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}^n) \amalg \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$.

For the representability thm. we need an extra definition.

Def. A morph. of schemes $X \xrightarrow{f} S$ is strongly (quasi)projective if it is of fin. pres.,
and if $\exists E$ loc. free \mathcal{O}_S -module of const. rank s.t. X has as a closed
immersion (immersion) into $\mathbb{P}_S^r(E) := \text{Proj}_S(\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_S} E)$, $T \mapsto \{ g : g^* E \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \mid$
Given such an immersion, let $\mathcal{O}_X^{(1)}$ be the $\begin{matrix} f^* \\ S \end{matrix} \quad X \text{ inv. } \mathcal{O}_T\text{-mod.} \}$
induced very ample \mathcal{O}_X -module.

For X/S a smooth proper curve, with geom. conn. fibres,

$$\text{Hilb}_{X/S} = \left(\coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X^{(n)} \right) \amalg S, \text{ where } X^{(n)} = \frac{X^n/S_n}{\text{fibr. pr. } / S}.$$

Thm. (Grothendieck, Altman-Kleiman). Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ in Sch be strongly quasi-projectives and let $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ be an induced very ample inv. \mathcal{O}_S -module. Let F be a quotient of some $(f^*B)(v)$ with B an \mathcal{O}_S -module that is loc. free of finite constant rank, and $v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\text{Quot}_{F/X/S}$ is represented by a disjoint union of strongly quasi-proj. S -schemes. If moreover f is proper, then $\text{Quot}_{F/X/S}$ is repr. by a disj. union of strongly projective S -schemes. (i.e., f is strongly projective)

§2. Decomposition by Hilbert polynomials.

For k a field, X a k -scheme, projective, with given very ample $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$, we have the Hilbert polynomial $\chi(F) \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$, $n \mapsto \chi(X, F(n)) = \sum (-1)^i \dim_k H^i(X, F(n))$. For X/S strongly proj. with fixed $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$, and F of fin. pres and \mathcal{O}_S -flat, $s \mapsto \chi(F_s)$ is loc. constant, and then $S = \coprod_{\Phi \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]} S_\Phi$.

then as functors!
So $\text{Quot}_{F/X/S} \stackrel{\downarrow}{=} \coprod_{\Phi \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]} \text{Quot}_{F/X/S}^\Phi$. Same for $\text{Hilb}_{X/S}$.
these are projective.

Example: $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n/\mathbb{Z}}^1$ for $n \geq 0$ = \mathbb{P}^n , 1 point in \mathbb{P}^n .

For $n \geq 1$: 2 points in \mathbb{P}^n , and $\text{Spec}(k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$: $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^2 = \text{Gr}(2, n+1)$

For $n \geq 2$: 3 points in \mathbb{P}^n , $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n/\mathbb{Z}}^3$, is harder!
Why?

Because $\text{Spec}(k[x,y]/(x^2, xy, y^2)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^2$ is not a complete intersection:
at $(0,0)$, say, the ideal needs 3 generators.

The proof of repres. proceeds in 2 main steps.

For simplicity, we only look at the case where $f: X \rightarrow S$ is strongly projective.

$$\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}_k^2}^3 \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}(6, 3), \quad \left(\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^2 \text{ closed} \right) \xrightarrow{\text{subsch. length 3}} \ker \left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^2}(2)(\mathbb{P}_k^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}}(2)(\mathbb{Z}) \right)$$

This is an immersion, that is,
a locally closed embedding.

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^2, \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{Z}}(2)) \subset \mathbb{D} k[x, y, z]_2.$$

§ 3. Reduction to $\text{Quot}_{\pi^* B / \mathbb{P}_S(E)/S}^\Phi$ (to get rid of the geometry, exit X/S)

Here B and E are loc. free \mathcal{O}_S -modules of constant finite rank,
 $\pi: \mathbb{P}_S(E) \rightarrow S$. Let X be a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}_S(E)$, of finite presentation over S , and let F be a quotient of some $(\pi^* B)(v)|_X$, \mathcal{O}_S -flat.
 Let $\Phi \in \mathbb{Q}[i]$.

Thm. (Lemma 5.17 in Nisnevich). The inclusion morphism

$\text{Quot}_{F/X/S}^\Phi \rightarrow \text{Quot}_{\pi^*(B)(v) / \mathbb{P}(E)/S}^\Phi$ is represented by closed immersions.

Rem. What does this mean? $\forall T \rightarrow S$, $\forall q: (\pi^* B)(v)_T \rightarrow F_T$ on $\mathbb{P}(E)_T$
 $P \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} T$ in $\text{Functor}((\text{Sch}/S)^{op}, \text{Set})$
 a scheme " a closed immersion.

Concretely: \exists closed subscheme Z of T s.t. $\forall T' \rightarrow T$ s.t.
 $(q_{T'}, \text{factors through } F_{T'}) \Leftrightarrow (T' \rightarrow T \text{ fact. through } Z \hookrightarrow T)$.

Sketch of proof. $I \hookrightarrow (\pi^* B)(v)_T \rightarrow F_T$ exact, on $\mathbb{P}(E)_T$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \downarrow q \circ i & \\ I & \xrightarrow{i} & (\pi^* B)(v)_T \\ & \downarrow q & \\ & G & \end{array}$$

We need to understand for which $T' \rightarrow T$ $(q \circ i)_{T'} = 0$. So, consider the functor $(T' \rightarrow T) \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(I_{T'}, G_{T'})$, let's call it H .

Nisnevich Thm 5.8.

Claim: H is represented by a scheme $/T'$ of the form $\text{Spec}_{T'}(\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_{T'}} Q) =: V$ with Q an $\mathcal{O}_{T'}$ -module of fin. pres.

Consequence: $T' \xrightarrow{q \circ i} V$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \uparrow & \\ T' & \xrightarrow{q \circ i} & V \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow & & \\ Z & \rightarrow & T' \end{array}$$

Behind the proof of repr. of H : cohomology and base change basics.

See Nisnevich Thm 5.6, Mumford A.V. §5, BLR right after Thm. 7 of Ch. 8.2.

This is not a difficult result at all, and it looks as the start of the theory of derived categories.

§4. Embedding $\text{Quot}_{\pi^* B/\mathbb{P}(E)/S}^{\Phi}$ into Grass.

4.

Nisnevich 5.5.5, BLR §8.2 Thm 8'.

Situation: S a scheme, B & E loc free \mathcal{O}_S -mod. of constant finite rank,
 $\pi: \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow S$, $\Phi \in Q[\lambda]$.

Thm. $\exists m \in \mathbb{Z}$, depending only on $\text{rk}(E)$, $\text{rk}(B)$ and Φ , such that $\check{v} \in \text{field } k$,
 $\forall s \in S(k)$, $\forall q: (\pi^* B)_s \rightarrow F$ with $\chi(\mathbb{P}(E)_s, F) = \Phi$;
 $\forall i \geq 1 \quad H^i((\pi^* B)_s^{(r)}) = 0, \quad H^i(F_s(r)) = 0, \quad H^i((\ker q)_s(r)) = 0,$
 $\pi^* B(r)_s, F_s(r), (\ker q)_s(r)$ are generated by global sections.

This uses "Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity" ...

We take such an m .

Thm. (BLR. §8.2 Thm 8'). $\text{Quot}_{\pi^* B/\mathbb{P}(E)/S}^{\Phi} \rightarrow \text{Grass}(B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \text{Sym}^m(E))$

$$(\tau \rightarrow s, (\pi^* B) \xrightarrow{\tau \circ q} F) \mapsto \pi_{T_s}^*((\ker q)(m)) \hookrightarrow \pi_{T_s}^*((\pi^* B)(m)_T)$$

is a closed immersion, on $\mathbb{P}(E)_T$ $(B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \text{Sym}^m E)_T$.
 and $\text{Quot}_{\pi^* B/\mathbb{P}(E)/S}^{\Phi}$ is $\pi_T \downarrow$
 strongly projective over S .

The proof has 2 steps.

maximal

1. The map is an isomorphism to the (loc. closed) subscheme of Grass over which the ~~closed subscheme~~ quotient of $(\pi^* B)(m)$ is flat and has Hilbert polynomial Φ . (existence of such a subscheme: Nisnevich Thm. 5.13).
2. Use valuative criterion of properness to show that the image is closed. (easy...)