

Uitwerkingen werkcollege 10.

7.4.5 We have $\dim(U_1 \cap U_2) = 0$, so by Theorem 7.56 we have

$$\dim(U_1 + U_2) = \dim U_1 + \dim U_2 \geq \dim V.$$

From the inclusion $U_1 + U_2 \subset V$ we also have $\dim(U_1 + U_2) \leq \dim V$, so we get $\dim(U_1 + U_2) = \dim V$ and Lemma 7.55 implies $U_1 + U_2 = V$. Together with $U_1 \cap U_2 = \{0\}$, this shows that U_1 and U_2 are complementary subspaces in V .

7.4.6 Hier zijn vele oplossingen mogelijk. Een strategie om er één te vinden is om Stelling 7.56 2 maal te gebruiken om een correcte formule af te leiden voor de dimensie van $U_1 + U_2 + U_3$, en dan te kijken waar die verschilt van de (niet correcte) formule in de opgave. Dus, 2 maal Stelling 7.56 toepassen geeft:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(U_1 + U_2 + U_3) &= \dim((U_1 + U_2) + U_3) \\ &= \dim(U_1 + U_2) + \dim(U_3) - \dim((U_1 + U_2) \cap U_3) \\ &= \dim(U_1) + \dim(U_2) - \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) + \dim(U_3) - \dim((U_1 + U_2) \cap U_3) \\ &= \dim(U_1) + \dim(U_2) + \dim(U_3) - \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) - \dim((U_1 + U_2) \cap U_3). \end{aligned}$$

Hierin komt de term $\dim((U_1 + U_2) \cap U_3)$ voor, en dat kan tot het idee leiden om eens drie lijnen in een vlak te proberen: $F = \mathbb{R}$, $V = \mathbb{R}^2$, $U_1 = L(1, 0)$, $U_2 = L(0, 1)$ en $U_3 = L(1, 1)$. Dan geldt de gevraagde ongelijkheid inderdaad: links staat dan 2, en rechts 3.

7.4.7 We weten dan $U_1 + U_2$ een deelruimte is van V en dat dus $\dim(U_1 + U_2) \leq 10$. Stelling 7.56 geeft dan:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) &= \dim(U_1) + \dim(U_2) - \dim(U_1 + U_2) \\ &= 6 + 7 - \dim(U_1 + U_2) \geq 13 - 10 = 3. \end{aligned}$$

- 8.1.2 (1) For each i , the map $S_i = \text{ev}_{\alpha_i}$ that is evaluation at α_i is a linear map (cf. Example 4.30). The map S sends $f \in F[x]_n$ to $(S_1(f), \dots, S_{n+1}(f))$, so S is linear by Exercise 4.4.3 (alternatively, one can of course check the requirements for being a linear map directly).
- (2) Geïnspireerd door Voorbeeld 8.4 bekijken we voor elke i in $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$ het element p_i van $F[x]_n$ gegeven door:

$$p_i = (x - \alpha_1) \cdots (x - \alpha_{n+1}) / (x - \alpha_i) = \prod_{j \neq i} (x - \alpha_j).$$

Dan geldt

$$S(p_i) = \lambda_i \cdot e_i, \quad \text{met } \lambda_i = \prod_{j \neq i} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \neq 0.$$

Hieruit volgt dat de deelruimte $\text{im}(S)$ alle e_i in F^{n+1} bevat en dus gelijk is aan F^{n+1} . Dus is S surjectief.

- (3) Since we have $\dim F[x]_n = n + 1 = \dim F^{n+1}$, and S is surjective by part (2), Corollary 8.5 shows that S is an isomorphism.

- (4) (Of course the indices in the exercise are wrong, they should always go from 1 up to $n + 1$.) The conditions stated for f_i are equivalent to $S(f_i) = e_i$, where e_i denotes the i -th standard vector of F^{n+1} . Therefore, this follows from the fact that S is a bijection.
- (5) The map S^{-1} sends the standard basis (e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}) to $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n+1})$, so the latter is a basis for $F[x]_n$ by Proposition 7.31.
- (6) We have already seen in part (2) that $S(p_i) = \lambda_i \cdot e_i$. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} f_i &= \lambda_i^{-1} \cdot p_i \\ &= \frac{(x - \alpha_0)(x - \alpha_1) \cdots (x - \alpha_{i-1})(x - \alpha_{i+1}) \cdots (x - \alpha_n)}{(\alpha_i - \alpha_0)(\alpha_i - \alpha_1) \cdots (\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1})(\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1}) \cdots (\alpha_i - \alpha_n)} \\ &= \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq n \\ j \neq i}} \left(\frac{x - \alpha_j}{\alpha_i - \alpha_j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

- 8.1.4 (1) Let \tilde{g} denote the restriction of g to the subspace $\text{im } f \subset V$. Then we have $\text{im}(g \circ f) = \text{im } \tilde{g}$ (do you see why?), so $\text{rk}(g \circ f) = \text{rk } \tilde{g}$. Since the domain of \tilde{g} is $\text{im } f$, Theorem 8.3 gives

$$\text{rk } \tilde{g} = \dim(\text{im } f) - \dim \ker \tilde{g} \leq \dim \text{im } f = \text{rk } f,$$

with equality if and only if $\ker \tilde{g} = \{0\}$. We have $\ker \tilde{g} = \ker g \cap \text{im } f$, so equality does indeed hold if g is injective.

To show the “But not only if” part, we give an example where g is not injective and still $\text{rk}(g \circ f) = \text{rk } f$. We want \tilde{g} to be injective but g not. We can take $F = \mathbb{R}$, $U = \{0\}$, $V = \mathbb{R}$, $W = \{0\}$ and for f and g the only linear maps that exist, the zero-maps. For those who want a more interesting example: $U = \mathbb{R}$, $V = \mathbb{R}^2$, and $W = \mathbb{R}$, with, for all $x \in V$, $f(x) = (x, 0)$, and for all $(x, y) \in V$, $g(x, y) = x$.

- (2) Note that the inclusion $f(U) = \text{im } f \subset V$ yields $\text{im}(g \circ f) = g(f(U)) \subset g(V) = \text{im } g$, so by Lemma 7.55 we get $\text{rk}(g \circ f) = \dim g(f(U)) \leq \dim g(V) = \text{rk } g$. If f is surjective, then we have $f(U) = V$, so all inclusions and inequalities are equalities.

To show the “But not only if” part, we give an example where f is not surjective and still $\text{rk}(g \circ f) = \text{rk } g$. We can take $F = \mathbb{R}$, $U = \{0\}$, $V = \mathbb{R}$, $W = \{0\}$ and for f and g the only linear maps that exist, the zero-maps. For those who want a more interesting example: $U = \mathbb{R}$, $V = \mathbb{R}^2$, and $W = \mathbb{R}$, with, for all $x \in V$, $f(x) = (x, 0)$, and for all $(x, y) \in V$, $g(x, y) = x$.

- 8.2.15.5.4 Rotation over α is an isomorphism: its inverse is rotation over $-\alpha$. So the image of ρ is \mathbb{R}^2 , so the rank of ρ , and thus of the associated matrices, is 2.

5.5.5 The ranks are 2, 2, 3, 2, and 2, respectively (see Exercise 6.2.1).

- 8.2.4 Set $U = L(S)$. By Proposition 3.33, we have $S^\perp = L(S)^\perp = U^\perp$. Hence, this follows from Proposition 8.20.

- 8.2.6 (1) $\text{rk}(AB) = \dim \text{im}(AB)$, en $\text{im}(AB)$ is een deelruimte van $\text{im}(A)$. Lemma 7.55 geeft dat $\text{rk}(AB) \leq \text{rk}(A)$. Als $\text{rk}(B) = m$, dan is $B: F^n \rightarrow F^m$ surjectief, dus geldt $\text{im}(AB) = A(B(F^n)) = A(F^m) = \text{im}(A)$, dus $\text{rk}(AB) = \text{rk}(A)$. Om de ‘not only if’ te laten zien, willen we een voorbeeld waarin $\text{rk}(AB) = \text{rk}(A)$ en $\text{rk}(B) < m$. We nemen $F = \mathbb{Q}$, $n = m = l = 1$, en $A = 0$ en $B = 0$.
- (2) We hebben dat $\ker(B)$ een deelruimte is van $\ker(AB)$, dus $\dim(\ker(B)) \leq \dim(\ker(AB))$. De rangstelling (Stelling 8.3) geeft dan
- $$\text{rk}(B) = n - \dim(\ker(B)) \geq n - \dim(\ker(AB)) = \text{rk}(AB).$$
- Als $\text{rk}(A) = m$ dan is A injectief vanwege de rangstelling en dan geldt $\ker(AB) = \ker(B)$. Voor de ‘not only if’ nemen we hetzelfde voorbeeld als in (1).
- (3) Dit volgt uit (1).
- (4) Dit volgt uit (2).

8.3.1 Since V^\perp is generated by x_3 and x_5 , we find

$$U \cap V = U \cap (V^\perp)^\perp = \{u \in U : \langle u, x_3 \rangle = \langle u, x_5 \rangle = 0\}.$$

Every $u \in U$ can be written as $u = \lambda_1 u_1 + \lambda_2 u_2 + \lambda_3 u_3$ for some $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{R}$. As in Example 8.28, the equations $\langle u, x_3 \rangle = \langle u, x_5 \rangle = 0$ are then equivalent to $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ lying in the kernel of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle u_1, x_3 \rangle & \langle u_2, x_3 \rangle & \langle u_3, x_3 \rangle \\ \langle u_1, x_5 \rangle & \langle u_2, x_5 \rangle & \langle u_3, x_5 \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 7 & -3 \\ 7 & 7 & -3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Its kernel is generated by $(-1, 1, 0)$ and $(3, 0, 7)$, which correspond to the vectors $-u_1 + u_2$ and $3u_1 + 7u_3$, so these two vectors generate $U \cap V$.

8.3.2 The intersection is generated by $(1, 0, 0, 1) \in F^4$.