

### Uitwerkingen werkcollege 10.

7.4.3 We have  $\dim(U_1 \cap U_2) = 0$ , so by Theorem 7.55 we have

$$\dim(U_1 + U_2) = \dim U_1 + \dim U_2 \geq \dim V.$$

From the inclusion  $U_1 + U_2 \subset V$  we also have  $\dim(U_1 + U_2) \leq \dim V$ , so we get  $\dim(U_1 + U_2) = \dim V$  and Lemma 7.54 implies  $U_1 + U_2 = V$ . Together with  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \{0\}$ , this shows that  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are complementary subspaces in  $V$ .

7.4.4 Hier zijn vele oplossingen mogelijk. Een strategie om er één te vinden is om Stelling 7.55 2 maal te gebruiken om een correcte formule af te leiden voor de dimensie van  $U_1 + U_2 + U_3$ , en dan te kijken waar die verschilt van de (niet correcte) formule in de opgave. Dus, 2 maal Stelling 7.55 toepassen geeft:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(U_1 + U_2 + U_3) &= \dim((U_1 + U_2) + U_3) \\ &= \dim(U_1 + U_2) + \dim(U_3) - \dim((U_1 + U_2) \cap U_3) \\ &= \dim(U_1) + \dim(U_2) - \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) + \dim(U_3) - \dim((U_1 + U_2) \cap U_3) \\ &= \dim(U_1) + \dim(U_2) + \dim(U_3) - \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) - \dim((U_1 + U_2) \cap U_3). \end{aligned}$$

Hierin komt de term  $\dim((U_1 + U_2) \cap U_3)$  voor, en dat kan tot het idee leiden om eens drie lijnen in een vlak te proberen:  $F = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $U_1 = L(1, 0)$ ,  $U_2 = L(0, 1)$  en  $U_3 = L(1, 1)$ . Dan geldt de gevraagde ongelijkheid inderdaad: links staat dan 2, en rechts 3.

7.4.5 We weten dan  $U_1 + U_2$  een deelruimte is van  $V$  en dat dus  $\dim(U_1 + U_2) \leq 10$ . Stelling 7.56 geeft dan:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) &= \dim(U_1) + \dim(U_2) - \dim(U_1 + U_2) \\ &= 6 + 7 - \dim(U_1 + U_2) \geq 13 - 10 = 3. \end{aligned}$$

- 8.1.2 (1) For each  $i$ , the map  $S_i = \text{ev}_{\alpha_i}$  that is evaluation at  $\alpha_i$  is a linear map (cf. Example 4.31). The map  $S$  sends  $f \in F[x]_n$  to  $(S_1(f), \dots, S_{n+1}(f))$ , so  $S$  is linear by Exercise 4.4.3 (alternatively, one can of course check the requirements for being a linear map directly).
- (2) Geïnspireerd door Voorbeeld 8.4 bekijken we voor elke  $i$  in  $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$  het element  $p_i$  van  $F[x]_n$  gegeven door:

$$p_i = (x - \alpha_1) \cdots (x - \alpha_{n+1}) / (x - \alpha_i) = \prod_{j \neq i} (x - \alpha_j).$$

Dan geldt

$$S(p_i) = \lambda_i \cdot e_i, \quad \text{met } \lambda_i = \prod_{j \neq i} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \neq 0.$$

Hieruit volgt dat de deelruimte  $\text{im}(S)$  alle  $e_i$  in  $F^{n+1}$  bevat en dus gelijk is aan  $F^{n+1}$ . Dus is  $S$  surjectief.

- (3) Since we have  $\dim F[x]_n = n + 1 = \dim F^{n+1}$ , and  $S$  is surjective by part (2), Corollary 8.5 shows that  $S$  is an isomorphism.

- (4) The conditions stated for  $f_i$  are equivalent to  $S(f_i) = e_i$ , where  $e_i$  denotes the  $i$ -th standard vector of  $F^{n+1}$ . Therefore, this follows from the fact that  $S$  is a bijection.
- (5) The map  $S^{-1}$  sends the standard basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_{n+1})$  to  $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n+1})$ , so the latter is a basis for  $F[x]_n$  by Proposition 7.31.
- (6) We have already seen in part (2) that  $S(p_i) = \lambda_i \cdot e_i$ . Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} f_i &= \lambda_i^{-1} \cdot p_i \\ &= \frac{(x - \alpha_0)(x - \alpha_1) \cdots (x - \alpha_{i-1})(x - \alpha_{i+1}) \cdots (x - \alpha_n)}{(\alpha_i - \alpha_0)(\alpha_i - \alpha_1) \cdots (\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1})(\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1}) \cdots (\alpha_i - \alpha_n)} \\ &= \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq n \\ j \neq i}} \left( \frac{x - \alpha_j}{\alpha_i - \alpha_j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

- 8.1.4 (1) Let  $\tilde{g}$  denote the restriction of  $g$  to the subspace  $\text{im } f \subset V$ . Then we have  $\text{im}(g \circ f) = \text{im } \tilde{g}$  (do you see why?), so  $\text{rk}(g \circ f) = \text{rk } \tilde{g}$ . Since the domain of  $\tilde{g}$  is  $\text{im } f$ , Theorem 8.3 gives

$$\text{rk } \tilde{g} = \dim(\text{im } f) - \dim \ker \tilde{g} \leq \dim \text{im } f = \text{rk } f,$$

with equality if and only if  $\ker \tilde{g} = \{0\}$ . We have  $\ker \tilde{g} = \ker g \cap \text{im } f$ , so equality does indeed hold if  $g$  is injective.

To show the “But not only if” part, we give an example where  $g$  is not injective and still  $\text{rk}(g \circ f) = \text{rk } f$ . We want  $\tilde{g}$  to be injective but  $g$  not. We can take  $F = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $U = \{0\}$ ,  $V = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $W = \{0\}$  and for  $f$  and  $g$  the only linear maps that exist, the zero-maps. For those who want a more interesting example:  $U = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $W = \mathbb{R}$ , with, for all  $x \in V$ ,  $f(x) = (x, 0)$ , and for all  $(x, y) \in V$ ,  $g(x, y) = x$ .

- (2) Note that the inclusion  $f(U) = \text{im } f \subset V$  yields  $\text{im}(g \circ f) = g(f(U)) \subset g(V) = \text{im } g$ , so by Lemma 7.54 we get  $\text{rk}(g \circ f) = \dim g(f(U)) \leq \dim g(V) = \text{rk } g$ . If  $f$  is surjective, then we have  $f(U) = V$ , so all inclusions and inequalities are equalities.

To show the “But not only if” part, we give an example where  $f$  is not surjective and still  $\text{rk}(g \circ f) = \text{rk } g$ . We can take  $F = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $U = \{0\}$ ,  $V = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $W = \{0\}$  and for  $f$  and  $g$  the only linear maps that exist, the zero-maps. For those who want a more interesting example:  $U = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $W = \mathbb{R}$ , with, for all  $x \in V$ ,  $f(x) = (x, 0)$ , and for all  $(x, y) \in V$ ,  $g(x, y) = x$ .

8.2.15.5.4 Rotation over  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism: its inverse is rotation over  $-\alpha$ . So the image of  $\rho$  is  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , so the rank of  $\rho$ , and thus of the associated matrices, is 2.

5.5.5 The ranks are 2, 2, 3, 2, and 2, respectively (see Exercise 6.2.1).

8.2.4 Set  $U = L(S)$ . By Proposition 3.33, we have  $S^\perp = L(S)^\perp = U^\perp$ . Hence, this follows from Proposition 8.20.

- 8.2.6 (1)  $\text{rk}(AB) = \dim \text{im}(AB)$ , en  $\text{im}(AB)$  is een deelruimte van  $\text{im}(A)$ . Lemma 7.54 geeft dat  $\text{rk}(AB) \leq \text{rk}(A)$ . Als  $\text{rk}(B) = m$ , dan is  $B: F^n \rightarrow F^m$  surjectief, dus geldt  $\text{im}(AB) = A(B(F^n)) = A(F^m) = \text{im}(A)$ , dus

$\text{rk}(AB) = \text{rk}(A)$ . Om de ‘not only if’ te laten zien, willen we een voorbeeld waarin  $\text{rk}(AB) = \text{rk}(A)$  en  $\text{rk}(B) < m$ . We nemen  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $n = m = l = 1$ , en  $A = 0$  en  $B = 0$ .

- (2) We hebben dat  $\ker(B)$  een deelruimte is van  $\ker(AB)$ , dus  $\dim(\ker(B)) \leq \dim(\ker(AB))$ . De rangstelling (Stelling 8.3) geeft dan

$$\text{rk}(B) = n - \dim(\ker(B)) \geq n - \dim(\ker(AB)) = \text{rk}(AB).$$

Als  $\text{rk}(A) = m$  dan is  $A$  injectief vanwege de rangstelling en dan geldt  $\ker(AB) = \ker(B)$ . Voor de ‘not only if’ nemen we hetzelfde voorbeeld als in (1).

- (3) Dit volgt uit (1).  
 (4) Dit volgt uit (2).

8.3.1 Since  $V^\perp$  is generated by  $x_3$  and  $x_5$ , we find

$$U \cap V = U \cap (V^\perp)^\perp = \{u \in U : \langle u, x_3 \rangle = \langle u, x_5 \rangle = 0\}.$$

Every  $u \in U$  can be written as  $u = \lambda_1 u_1 + \lambda_2 u_2 + \lambda_3 u_3$  for some  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ . As in Example 8.28, the equations  $\langle u, x_3 \rangle = \langle u, x_5 \rangle = 0$  are then equivalent to  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  lying in the kernel of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle u_1, x_3 \rangle & \langle u_2, x_3 \rangle & \langle u_3, x_3 \rangle \\ \langle u_1, x_5 \rangle & \langle u_2, x_5 \rangle & \langle u_3, x_5 \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 7 & -3 \\ 7 & 7 & -3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Its kernel is generated by  $(-1, 1, 0)$  and  $(3, 0, 7)$ , which correspond to the vectors  $-u_1 + u_2$  and  $3u_1 + 7u_3$ , so these two vectors generate  $U \cap V$ .

8.3.2 The intersection is generated by  $(1, 0, 0, 1) \in F^4$ .